

## **Online Supplement**

### **Common Respiratory Pathogens Other Than Haemophilus in Small Airways Are Associated With Neutrophilic Inflammation and Poor Health Status in Stable COPD Patients**

Nawaf M. Alotaibi, MD, MSc<sup>1,2</sup> Sheena Tam, BSc<sup>1</sup> Stephan F. van Eeden, MD<sup>1</sup> Tawimas Shaipanich, MD<sup>3,4</sup> Stephen Lam, MD<sup>3,4</sup> Janice M. Leung, MD<sup>1,3</sup> Don D. Sin, MD, MPH<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centre for Heart Lung Innovation, James Hogg Research Centre, St. Paul's Hospital, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

<sup>2</sup> Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

<sup>3</sup> Division of Respiratory Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

<sup>4</sup> British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

**Table 1: Pathogens detected for each subject who tested positive in the study (for *Prevotella* and *Veillonella* species coverage kindly refer to table 2).**

Subject	Pathogen 1	pathogen 2	Pathogen 3	Pathogen 4
1	Moraxella catarrhalis	Streptococcus pneumoniae		
2	Moraxella catarrhalis	streptococcus pneumoniae		
3	Moraxella catarrhalis			
4	Rhinovirus			
5	Haemophilus influenzae	Veillonella species		
6	Haemophilus influenzae	Streptococcus pneumoniae		
7	Haemophilus influenzae	Rhinovirus	Streptococcus pneumoniae	Veillonella species
8	Haemophilus influenzae	Prevotella species		
9	Haemophilus influenzae	Prevotella species		
10	Haemophilus influenzae	Moraxella catarrhalis	Streptococcus pneumoniae	
11	Haemophilus influenzae			
12	Haemophilus influenzae			
13	Haemophilus influenzae			
14	Haemophilus influenzae			
15	Haemophilus influenzae			
16	Haemophilus influenzae			
17	Haemophilus influenzae			
18	Streptococcus pneumoniae			

**Table 2: Species coverage on the Randox cystic fibrosis respiratory pathogen array.**

<b>Burkholderia cepacia complex</b>	<b>Streptococcus species</b>	<b>Non-tuberculous mycobacterium species</b>	<b>Pandora species</b>	<b>Prevotella species</b>
B. ambifaria	S. agalactiae	M. gordonae	P. apista	P. bivia
B. anthina	S. anginosus	M. kansasii	P. norimbergensis	P. buccae
B. arboris	S. australis	M. malmoense	P. pulmonicola	P. denticola
B. cenocepacia	S. constellatus	M. marseillense	P. sputorum	P. disiens
B. cepacia	S. cristatus	M. parascrofulaceum	P. pnomenus	P. histicola
B. contaminans	S. dysgalactiae	M. scrofulaceum	<b>Scedosporium species</b>	P. intermedia
B. diffusa	S. gordonii	M. simiae	S. apiospermum	P. melaninogenica
B. dolosa	S. infantis	M. szulgai	S. aurantiacum	P. multiformis
		<b>Mycobacterium abscessus subgroup</b>		
B. gladioli	S. intermedius	M. abscessus	S. dehoogii	P. nigrescens
B. lata	S. massiliensis		S. prolificans	P. oralis
			S. pseudallescheria boydii	P. oris
B. latens	S. mitis	M. bolletii	S. pseudallescheria minutispora	P. oulorum
B. mallei	S. mutans	M. chelonae	S. pseudallescheria ellipsoidea	P. pallens
B. metallica	S. oralis	M. massiliense	<b>Ralstonia species</b>	
		<b>Mycobacterium avium complex</b>		P. salivae
B. multivorans	S. parasanguinis	M. avium	R. gilardii	P. tanneriae
B. oklahomensis	S. peroris	M. chimaera	R. insidiosa	P. veroralis
B. pseudomallei	S. pneumoniae	M. intracellulare	R. mannitolilytica	<b>Veillonella species</b>
B. pyrrocinia	S. pseudopneumoniae	M. paratuberculosis	R. pickettii	V. dispar
B. seminalis	S. salivarius		R. respiraculi	V. parvula
B. stabilis	S. sanguinis		R. taiwanensis	V. atypica
B. ubonensis	S. thermophilus			
B. vietnamiensis	S. vestibularis			

*Table 3: Multiple linear regression to control for variables affecting bronchoalveolar lavage neutrophil%.*

Predictor	B (95% CI)	Standard Error of B	Beta	Tolerance	Variance inflation factor (VIF)	P-value
<b>Step 1</b>						
Intercept	66.2 (-33.7 to 166.1)	48.9				0.2
FEV <sub>1</sub> % of Predicted	-0.7 (-1.2 to -0.1)	0.3	-0.4	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.014</b>
Smoking	-3.2 (-22.3 to 16)	9.4	-0.06	0.7	1.3	0.7
Age	0.04 (-1.2 to 1.3)	0.6	0.01	0.7	1.4	0.9
Sex	-8.9 (-34.4 to 16.5)	12.5	-0.01	0.9	1	0.5
<b>Step 2</b>						
Intercept	11.9 (-82 to 105.9)	45.9				0.8
FEV <sub>1</sub> % of Predicted	-0.6 (-1.1 to -0.1)	0.2	-0.4	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.014</b>
Smoking	1.2 (-15.8 to 18.1)	8.3	0.02	0.7	1.4	0.9
Age	0.6 (-0.6 to 1.7)	0.6	0.2	0.6	1.6	0.3
Sex	-2.7 (-25.3 to 20)	11.1	-0.03	0.9	1	0.8
Pathogen-positive*	25.3 (9.2 to 41.4)	7.9	0.5	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>0.003</b>

\*Subjects who had a positive result for only Haemophilus influenzae were merged with subjects who had no pathogens detected.

*Table 4: Multiple linear regression model fit statistics.*

<b>Model Summary<sup>c</sup></b>				
<b>Model</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>R Square</b>	<b>Adjusted R Square</b>	<b>Std. Error of the Estimate</b>
<b>1</b>	0.46 <sup>a</sup>	0.21	0.10	23.4
<b>2</b>	0.65 <sup>b</sup>	0.42	0.31	20.4
<b>a. Predictors: (Constant), Sex, Smoking, FEV<sub>1</sub>, Age</b>				
<b>b. Predictors: (Constant), Sex, Smoking, FEV<sub>1</sub>, Age, Pathogen-positive*</b>				
<b>c. Dependent Variable: BAL neutrophil%</b>				

\*Subjects who had a positive result for only Haemophilus influenzae were merged with subjects who had no pathogens detected.

### Quality control and DNA concentration:

Each sample after nucleic acid extraction was tested for DNA concentration via NanoDrop ND-8000 spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and results are shown in Table 5. We did not find an association between pathogen positivity and DNA concentration (P=0.4) or BAL return volume (P=0.7).

*Table 5: BAL return volume and DNA concentration for each sample tested.*

<b>Subject</b>	<b>BAL return volume (ml)</b>	<b>DNA Concentration (ng/uL)</b>
<b>1</b>	30	52
<b>2</b>	30	49
<b>3</b>	33	66

<b>4</b>	22.1	51
<b>5</b>	22.2	56
<b>6</b>	15	60
<b>7</b>	20	78
<b>8</b>	28	82
<b>9</b>	30	54
<b>10</b>	25	72
<b>11</b>	25	67
<b>12</b>	10	29
<b>13</b>	27.5	67
<b>14</b>	29	47
<b>15</b>	27	329
<b>16</b>	25	49
<b>17</b>	40	48
<b>18</b>	25	67
<b>19</b>	30	46
<b>20</b>	30	55
<b>21</b>	26.5	64
<b>22</b>	30	69
<b>23</b>	40	44
<b>24</b>	30	46
<b>25</b>	30	58
<b>26</b>	30	75
<b>27</b>	30	65
<b>28</b>	30	41
<b>29</b>	25	50
<b>30</b>	30	91
<b>31</b>	30	62
<b>32</b>	30	57
<b>33</b>	28	56
<b>34</b>	30	67

<b>35</b>	30	53
<b>36</b>	30	64
<b>37</b>	27	51
<b>38</b>	32	66

**Table 6: BAL cell differential results (Pathogen positive included subjects who tested positive for only Haemophilus influenzae).**

BAL Cell type %	Pathogen negative [median, IQR]	Pathogen Positive [median, IQR]	P value (Mann-Whitney U test)
Neutrophils	4 (2-16.2)	20 (5.6-45.2)	0.024
Macrophages	86.7 (79-91.7)	65.5 (38.5-90)	0.048
Eosinophils	1.2 (0-2.7)	1 (0.3-2.2)	0.7
Lymphocytes	3.5 (2-8.3)	3.5 (2-11.5)	0.6

**Table 7: BAL cell differential results (Pathogen negative included subjects who tested positive for only Haemophilus influenzae).**

BAL Cell type %	Pathogen negative [median, IQR]	Pathogen Positive [median, IQR]	P value (Mann-Whitney U test)
Neutrophils	5 (3-17)	40 (8-62)	0.013
Macrophages	86 (75-92)	56 (34-90)	0.041
Eosinophils	1 (0-3)	1 (0.7-2)	0.8
Lymphocytes	4 (2-9.5)	3 (1-8)	0.3