Disparities in Guideline-Concordant Statin Treatment in Individuals With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
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Abstract

Rationale: Cardiovascular disease (CVD) affects the prognosis of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Black women with COPD have a disproportionate risk of CVD-related mortality, yet disparities in CVD prevention in COPD are unknown.

Objectives: We aimed to identify race-sex differences in the receipt of statin treatment for CVD prevention, and whether these differences were explained by factors influencing health care utilization in the REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) COPD study sub-cohort.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional analysis among REGARDS Medicare beneficiaries with COPD. Our primary outcome was the presence of statin on in-home pill bottle review among individuals with an indication. Prevalence ratios (PR) for statin treatment among race-sex groups compared to White men were estimated using Poisson regression with robust variance. We then adjusted for covariates previously shown to impact health care utilization.

Results: Of the 2032 members within the COPD sub-cohort with sufficient data, 1435 participants (19% Black women, 14% Black men, 28% White women, and 39% White men) had a statin indication. All race-sex groups were less likely to receive statins than White men in unadjusted models. After adjusting for covariates that influence health care utilization, Black women (PR 0.76, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.67 to 0.86) and White women (PR 0.84 95% CI 0.76 to 0.91) remained less likely to be treated compared to White men.

Conclusions: All race-sex groups were less likely to receive statin treatment in the REGARDS COPD sub-cohort compared to White men. This difference persisted in women after controlling for individual health care utilization factors, suggesting structural interventions are needed.
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Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a leading cause of death in patients with COPD, with estimates identifying CVD as the underlying cause of death in 20%–30% of patients in varying COPD cohorts.1–3 Individuals with COPD are 2–5 times more likely to have major CVD4–6. Individuals with COPD and comorbid CVD are at increased risk for COPD-related emergency department visits, hospitalization, and office visits compared to individuals with COPD alone.7

Black women with COPD have a 44% higher risk of CVD-related mortality compared to White women, driven by the disproportionate burden of CVD risk factors among Black women.8 Given the increased risk of CVD mortality among Black women with COPD, identifying disparities in the treatment of CVD risk factors in a COPD population could serve as a potentially modifiable target to improve mortality outcomes among Black individuals.

Statins are used for the prevention of CVD events and have been shown to lead to quality of care deficiencies.13 These deficiencies could be magnified among Black individuals living with COPD, who have known structural barriers to health care access.14–17

To quantify treatment with statins among adults with COPD, we conducted a cross-sectional analysis of the REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study cohort, which is well-suited to study health care disparities given its large size, national reach, rich baseline data, and oversampling of the Black community-dwelling population.8 Our objectives were to: (1) determine if there are differences in statin treatment between race-sex groups among those with COPD, and (2) determine if observed differences can be explained by factors influencing health services utilization. We hypothesized that White men would be the most likely to receive statin treatment compared to other race-sex groups, and this disparity would not be explained by individual factors posited to account for differences in health care utilization.19

Methods

Study Design

REGARDS is a national, prospective observational cohort study designed to examine causes of regional and racial differences in stroke mortality.18 Participants were enrolled between 2003 and 2007 using commercially available listings to recruit community-dwelling Black and White adults aged ≥45 living in the continental United States, with oversampling from the Black population. Baseline data were collected through computer-assisted telephone interviews assessing medical history and health status as well as in-home visits by trained health professionals. In-home visits followed standardized, quality-controlled protocols to obtain physical measurements, electrocardiograms, blood and urine specimens, and medication information via an in-home pill bottle review. All data used in our analyses were obtained from the telephone interview and in-home visits which occurred at the time of enrollment between 2003 to 2007. This study was approved by the University of Alabama at Birmingham and Weill Cornell Medical College’s institutional review boards (protocol #1603017100) and all participants provided informed consent.

Study Sample

We previously identified evidence of diagnosed COPD among REGARDS participants who were Medicare beneficiaries at the time of REGARDS enrollment.8 A diagnosis of COPD was determined using a modified previously validated algorithm for identifying COPD in administrative claims records.8,20,21 A participant was identified as having COPD if they had
any inpatient hospitalization with a COPD-specific diagnosis code (International Classification of Diseases-9th Revision 491.x, 492.x, and 496) in any position or a COPD-specific diagnosis code on 2 outpatient claims from different service dates.

Among participants with diagnosed COPD, we identified those with an indication for statin for the prevention of CHD. Indication for statin treatment was defined by the Adult Treatment Panel III (ATP III) of the National Cholesterol Education Program guidelines that were current at the time of the REGARDS enrollment or by already being on statin treatment, consistent with prior REGARDS studies. The ATP III criteria identify LDL-C targets based on the future risk of coronary heart disease (CHD). Individuals with a CHD risk equivalent (presence of CHD, diabetes, or prior vascular disease) are at highest risk. Prior vascular disease was detected by self-reported history of stroke, heart attack, abdominal aortic aneurysm, peripheral arterial disease, or coronary revascularization procedure or by evidence of myocardial infarction on the baseline ECG. Diabetes was present if participants were treated with diabetes medication or had a fasting glucose ≥126mg/dL or non-fasting glucose of ≥200mg/dL.

For those without a CHD risk equivalent, ATP III assigns points based on other risk factors which determine the 10-year Framingham Risk Score for CHD (FRS). Those with CHD risk equivalent(s) or an FRS>20% had an LDL-C goal of <100mg/dL. The FRS 10–20% category had an LDL-C goal of <130mg/dL. The FRS <10% category had an LDL-C goal of <160mg/dL, or <130mg/dL if 2 or more of the following risk factors were present: (1) hypertension (>140/90mmHg or on antihypertensive medications), (2) current smoking, (3) male sex, (4) age >45 years for men and >55 years for women, (5) family history of myocardial infarction at age <55 years in a first-degree male relative or <65 years in first-degree female relative, or (6) high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) <40mg/dL. If a participant had an LDL-C level greater than or equal to the LDL-C goal for a particular risk category, they were determined to have an indication for statin therapy.

**Primary Outcome**

The primary outcome was receipt of statin therapy among those who had an indication, based on in-home pill bottle review. The in-home pill bottle review consisted of asking participants to gather all prescription and non-prescription medication taken within the last 2 weeks. These medication names were then recorded by a study staff member on a medication data collection form.

**Key Explanatory Variables**

The key explanatory variables were self-reported race (Black versus White) and sex (male versus female). Race and sex were analyzed together as 4 race-sex groups: Black women, Black men, White women, and White men. White men were designated the reference group since previous studies have shown that White men are more likely to be treated with statins than other race-sex groups despite similar indications.

**Covariates**

Aday and Andersen proposed that predisposing, enabling, and need-related factors may influence individual health services utilization. Predisposing factors are characteristics of the individual or community that exist prior to the individual’s illness, such as age. Enabling factors increase the likelihood of health services usage, such as access to transportation or insurance. Perceived need factors reflect an individual’s perception that a particular health care resource is necessary. Observed need factors are those assessed by a health care professional (e.g., elevated FRS), that would lead a physician to recommend a health resource.

In the current analysis, predisposing factors included age, educational attainment (less than high school education, high school education, or above), residence in a health professional shortage area, residence in a state with the least public health infrastructure, and residence in a zip code with high poverty level (>25% of the residents living below the federal poverty line), and stroke region. Enabling factors included social isolation (reporting not having close friends or family members or not seeing them at least once a month) and a poor social network (reporting having no one to take care of them should they become ill or disabled). Perceived need factors included awareness of hyperlipidemia (reporting having been told by a doctor that they have high cholesterol or an abnormal level of fats in their blood), medication adherence (assessed by a validated 4-item scale), current smoking status, and physical functioning, as reflected in the 12-item Short-Form Health Survey physical component summary (PCS) score. Observed needs included CHD risk category, depressive symptoms as reflected by a score ≥ 4 on the 4-item Centers for Epidemiology Studies-Depression (CES-D) scale, HDL-C≤60mg/dL, and body mass index (BMI)≥30kg/m². The presence of depressive symptoms was included as an observed need variable due to prior studies that have demonstrated an association between depression and incident cardiovascular disease.

**Statistical Analysis**

Among individuals with diagnosed COPD and a statin indication, we described baseline characteristics among race-sex groups (Black women, Black men, White women, White men). The proportion of all individuals with a statin indication who received treatment was compared across 4
Results

had insufficient data to determine whether they should be for statin treatment across race-sex groups using sequential Poisson regression models with robust variance.\textsuperscript{32,33} The first model included race-sex groups with White men as the reference category (Model 0). Predisposing covariates (age, educational attainment, stroke region, residence in a health professional shortage area, residence in a state with the least public health infrastructure, residence in a zip code with a high poverty level, stroke region) were added to Model 0 to create Model 1. Enabling factors (social isolation and poor social network) were added to the Model 1 covariates to create Model 2. Perceived need factors (awareness of hyperlipidemia, medication adherence, current smoking, PCS score) were added to Model 2 covariates to create Model 3. Finally, observed need factors (CHD risk category, CES-D\(\geq\)4, HDL-C\(\leq\)60mg/dL, and BMI>30) were added to Model 3 to construct Model 4. We used multiple imputation by chained equations to impute missing covariates.\textsuperscript{34} The covariates with the most missing data were the PCS score, for which 112 participants (7.8%) had missing data, and social isolation, for which 82 participants (5.7%) had missing data. The proportion of the sample with any missing information was 24%.

We also determined the proportion of individuals receiving indicated statin treatment by race and sex among the following prespecified groups: (1) participants without prior CVD history who had an indication for primary prevention to prevent the development of future CVD, (2) participants with prior CVD and who, therefore, have an indication for secondary prevention, and (3) participants age <75 years of age. We performed a subgroup analysis including only participants aged <75 years because clinical trials historically have included fewer people over 75 years of age, which may affect prescribing practices.\textsuperscript{35,36} Prior CVD was defined as self-reported history of myocardial infarction (MI) or evidence of MI on ECG, self-reported history of coronary artery bypass graft surgery, angioplasty, stenting, procedure to fix the arteries in the neck or the legs, stroke, or abdominal aortic aneurysm. All analyses were carried out using STATA, version 17 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas). The threshold for statistical significance was \(p<0.05\).

**Receipt of Statins by Race and Sex**

Of the 1435 REGARDS participants with diagnosed COPD and an indication for a statin, 859 participants (59.9%) were treated. Black women comprised the lowest proportion of participants receiving statin treatment (49.6%) compared to the other race sex groups (54.4% of Black men, 59.6% of White women, and 67.0% of White men) (Figure 2).

Among these 1435 participants, 593 (41.3%) had no prior history of CVD and a statin was indicated for primary prevention, and 812 participants (56.6%) had prior CVD history necessitating a statin for secondary prevention. Black women for whom a statin is indicated for primary prevention remain the least treated group. Even among the subgroup with established CVD who require statins for secondary prevention, the proportion of Black women treated was 18.1% less than that of White men (Figure 2). Table 2 presents the unadjusted and sequentially adjusted PRs for treatment with an indicated statin for each race-sex group compared with White men. Each race-sex group was less likely than White men to receive an indicated statin in the unadjusted model. Prevalence of treatment with statin for Black women was 26% lower than White men (PR 0.74, [95% CI 0.65 to 0.85]) and numerically lower than other race-sex groups. After adjusting for factors influencing health care utilization based on the Andersen model, both White women and Black women had lower PRs for treatment compared to White men. In contrast, after adjusting for perceived need factors (awareness of hyperlipidemia, medication adherence, current smoking, and physical functioning), the decreased PR for statin receipt comparing Black versus White men lost statistical significance. The fully adjusted PR comparing White women versus White men was 0.84 (95% CI 0.76 to 0.91). The PR comparing Black women versus White men was 0.76 (95% CI 0.67 to 0.91).

**Participant Characteristics**

Of the 2148 REGARDS participants with a diagnosis of COPD using Medicare fee-for-service claims data at the time of enrollment in REGARDS, 116 (5.4%) participants had insufficient data to determine whether they should be on a statin. As such, these individuals were excluded. Of the remaining 2032 participants, 1435 (70.6%) had an indication for statin treatment (Figure 1). Among these 1435 participants, 19% were Black women, 14% Black men, 28% White women, and 39% White men. The characteristics of the sample by race-sex group are shown in Table 1. Black men and women were more disadvantaged when comparing several of the variables representing predisposing factors to health care utilization. When examining variables representing perceived and observed need for health services, White women comprised the highest proportion of current smokers (26.9%). Black women comprised the highest proportion of individuals with BMI>30 (57.4%) as well as depressive symptoms (23.8%). However, Black women had more favorable HDL-C levels (only 67.4% of Black women with HDL-C \(\leq\)60 compared to 89.9% of White men).
Out of the 2,148 individuals in the REGARDS COPD cohort, 2,032 had sufficient data to determine whether an indication for statin was present. Among the 2,032 participants, 1,435 were found to have an indication for statin based on ATP III criteria.

REGARDS=REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke study; COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ATP III=adult treatment panel III

### Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of REGARDS COPD Participants With Statin Indication by Race-Sex Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anderson Model Domain</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Black Women (n=278)</th>
<th>Black Men (n=193)</th>
<th>White Women (n=398)</th>
<th>White Men (n=566)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Predisposing</strong></td>
<td>Age (Mean, SD), years</td>
<td>70.0 (7.9)</td>
<td>71.2 (8.3)</td>
<td>72.0 (7.7)</td>
<td>73.2 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education &lt; High School, n (%)</td>
<td>110 (39.6)</td>
<td>74 (38.3)</td>
<td>73 (18.4)</td>
<td>83 (14.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence in a Health Professional Shortage Area, n (%)</td>
<td>146 (52.5)</td>
<td>102 (52.9)</td>
<td>143 (35.9)</td>
<td>207 (36.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence in State With Least Public Health Infrastructure, n (%)</td>
<td>92 (33.1)</td>
<td>55 (28.5)</td>
<td>164 (41.2)</td>
<td>234 (41.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip Code With High Povertya, n (%)</td>
<td>107 (38.9)</td>
<td>66 (34.6)</td>
<td>45 (11.5)</td>
<td>65 (11.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabling Factors</strong></td>
<td>Social Isolation, n. (%)</td>
<td>12 (4.4)</td>
<td>10 (5.4)</td>
<td>21 (5.4)</td>
<td>40 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor Social Networkb, n (%)</td>
<td>48 (18.8)</td>
<td>29 (15.6)</td>
<td>86 (22.9)</td>
<td>67 (12.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perceived Need Factors</strong></td>
<td>Aware of High Cholesterol, n (%)</td>
<td>185 (66.8)</td>
<td>117 (60.6)</td>
<td>292 (73.9)</td>
<td>400 (71.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication Nonadherence (4-item scale score ≥ 1), n (%)</td>
<td>85 (31.8)</td>
<td>58 (31.8)</td>
<td>117 (30.3)</td>
<td>164 (29.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Smoker, n (%)</td>
<td>64 (23.2)</td>
<td>44 (22.8)</td>
<td>107 (26.9)</td>
<td>110 (19.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCS Score, mean (SD)</td>
<td>36.1 (11.3)</td>
<td>41.3 (10.0)</td>
<td>38.1 (12.3)</td>
<td>41.1 (11.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observed Need Factors</strong></td>
<td>CHD Risk Category, n (%)</td>
<td>200 (73.5)</td>
<td>148 (79.1)</td>
<td>243 (62.8)</td>
<td>398 (72.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD Risk Equivalent</td>
<td>6 (2.2)</td>
<td>16 (8.6)</td>
<td>20 (5.2)</td>
<td>64 (11.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS &gt; 20%</td>
<td>15 (5.5)</td>
<td>13 (7.0)</td>
<td>43 (11.1)</td>
<td>56 (10.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS 10%-20%</td>
<td>51 (18.6)</td>
<td>10 (5.4)</td>
<td>81 (20.9)</td>
<td>35 (6.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS &lt; 10%</td>
<td>66 (23.8)</td>
<td>31 (16.2)</td>
<td>75 (19.0)</td>
<td>53 (9.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive Symptoms, n (%) (CES-D score ≥ 4)</td>
<td>178 (67.4)</td>
<td>146 (77.7)</td>
<td>283 (73.9)</td>
<td>498 (89.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C ≤ 60mg/dL, n (%)</td>
<td>158 (57.4)</td>
<td>61 (32.2)</td>
<td>138 (34.8)</td>
<td>179 (31.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aZip code with high poverty level defined by >25% residents living below federal poverty line
bPoor social network=report of having no one to take care of them should they become ill or disabled

REGARDS=REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke Study; PCS= physical component summary score (of the 12-item Short Form Health Survey); SD=standard deviation; CHD=coronary heart disease; FRS=Framingham Risk Score; CES-D=Center for Epidemiology Studies-Depression scale; HDL-C=high density lipoprotein cholesterol; BMI=body mass index

### Prespecified Subgroup Analysis

In the analysis among the subgroup that was age <75 at the time of enrollment (n=901), results were similar, with the fully adjusted model showing that White women (PR 0.83 [95% CI 0.74 to 0.92]) and Black women (PR 0.77 [95% CI 0.66 to 0.90]) were less likely to be treated with statins than White men among those who had an indication (Table 3).
Figure 2. Treatment With Statin Among Those Who Have an Indication by Race-Sex Groups

This figure illustrates the percentage of participants who had a statin present on in-home pill bottle review out of the number of participants who had an indication for statin treatment in each race-sex group. White bars represent overall proportions. Black bars represent the proportion treated for primary prevention. Grey bars represent the percentage treated for secondary prevention.

Table 2. Prevalence Ratios for Treatment of Hyperlipidemia by Race-Sex Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Unadjusted PR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model 1(^a) PR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model 2(^b) PR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model 3(^c) PR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model 4(^d) PR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Race-Sex</td>
<td>(Reference)</td>
<td>(Reference)</td>
<td>(Reference)</td>
<td>(Reference)</td>
<td>(Reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Men</td>
<td>0.89 (0.81, 0.98)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.80, 0.98)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.79, 0.97)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.79, 0.94)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.76, 0.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Men</td>
<td>0.81 (0.71, 0.94)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.73, 0.97)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.72, 0.97)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.81, 1.07)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.82, 1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Women</td>
<td>0.74 (0.65, 0.85)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.65, 0.86)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.65, 0.85)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.69, 0.89)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.67, 0.86)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Adjusted for predisposing factors (age, educational attainment, stroke region, residence in a health professional shortage area, residence in a state with least public health infrastructure, residence in zip code with high poverty level)

\(^b\)Additionally adjusted for enabling factors (social isolation, poor social network)

\(^c\)Additionally adjusted for perceived need factors (awareness of hyperlipidemia, medication adherence, current smoking, physical functioning)

\(^d\)Additionally adjusted for observed need factors (CHD risk category, depressive symptoms, HDL-C ≤ 60mg/dL, and BMI > 30kg/m²)

PR=prevalence ratio; CI=confidence interval; CHD=coronary heart disease; HDL-C=high density lipoprotein cholesterol; BMI=body mass index

Discussion

Black women with COPD are at increased risk for CVD-related mortality, but to our knowledge, no studies have assessed differences in the receipt of primary and secondary CVD prevention among persons with COPD by race and sex.\(^8\) Our results show that women, especially Black women, were less likely to receive statin treatment for both primary and secondary prevention. This difference persisted even after adjusting for predisposing, enabling, and need factors that influence health care utilization. Although there were significant differences between Black women and White men in factors that we hypothesized to influence health care utilization, such as education level and residing in a health professional shortage area, these covariates had little effect on our findings. These data suggest that changes are needed on a health system level in terms of how care is delivered to individuals with multiple chronic conditions and social disadvantages.

While the disparity in statin treatment by race is consistent with findings from the general population, the treatment gap between Black women and White men is wider in our REGARDS COPD population compared to the general REGARDS population.\(^11,23,37\) The overall difference in statin treatment between Black versus White individuals has been reported to range between 5%–15% in multiple cohorts, which is consistent with the 12% difference we identified here by race. However, when looking at differences by race and sex, an important example of intersectionality, the disparities are worse in the COPD population. An analysis of the general REGARDS population by Schroff et al identified a crude 9% difference in proportion treated between White men and Black women.\(^23\) In our COPD population, this disparity is nearly double, with a 17% difference. Despite the increased risk of CVD in the COPD population, our results suggest that disparities in therapies for CVD risk reduction in this population are even worse.\(^4,6,38-40\)
Table 3. Prevalence Ratios for Treatment of Hyperlipidemia by Race-Sex Group Among Adults Age <75 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Unadjusted PR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Fully Adjusted PR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race-Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Men</td>
<td>(Reference)</td>
<td>(Reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Women</td>
<td>0.90 (0.79, 1.03)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.74, 0.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Men</td>
<td>0.85 (0.71, 1.01)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.81, 1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Women</td>
<td>0.69 (0.67, 0.78)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.66, 0.90)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for predisposing factors (age, educational attainment, stroke region, residence in a health professional shortage area, residence in a state with least public health infrastructure, residence in zip code with high poverty level), enabling factors (social isolation, poor social network), perceived need factors (awareness of hyperlipidemia, medication adherence, current smoking, physical functioning) and observed need factors (CHD risk category, depressive symptoms, HDL-C ≤ 60mg/dL, and BMI > 30kg/m²).

PR=presence ratio; CI=confidence interval; CHD=coronary heart disease; HDL-C=high density lipoprotein cholesterol; BMI=body mass index.

The finding of worse disparities in CVD prevention within the COPD population may be due to the complexity of managing multiple comorbidities combined with barriers to accessing health care in the Black population. Our findings indicate that disparities in CVD prevention are even worse with comorbid COPD compared to diabetes, another chronic disease. Multiple mechanisms may influence why the disparity is worse in patients with COPD compared to the diabetes populations. Having multiple chronic conditions may decrease the likelihood of receiving guideline concordant care for each condition when they are seemingly unrelated. For example, patients with chronic lung disease were less likely to receive treatment for arthritis, likely due to these conditions being viewed as unrelated. In this case, diabetes may be more clearly perceived by clinicians to be related to CVD, as diabetes is well recognized as a major CVD risk factor.

Another reason that comorbid conditions result in decreased guideline concordant care is that symptoms from one condition compete with another for time and attention. This situation is exacerbated among patients who have social barriers to care. COPD often creates symptoms of shortness of breath that may crowd out attention to preventive interventions. This study points to the need for innovative interventions to proactively optimize the management of both comorbid COPD and CVD among socially disadvantaged patient groups that are holistic and person-focused rather than disease-centric.

Our results demonstrate that Black women with COPD are at especially high risk of not receiving guideline-concordant statin treatment for CVD prevention. This is consistent with prior literature which has repeatedly demonstrated that Black women face large health inequities in multiple disease states. Intersectionality theory posits that Black women are particularly vulnerable as the disadvantage of having both identities is greater than the sum disadvantage of being Black and a woman. Black women experience more structural barriers to health care including racism and sexism compared to Black men or White women. Our findings highlight the need for clinicians to proactively manage comorbidities in Black women with COPD as they are particularly vulnerable to structural inequity.

The decreased likelihood of receiving a statin in Black versus White men lost statistical significance after adjusting for perceived need factors. Perceived need factors such as awareness of hyperlipidemia may play a key role in whether Black men receive statins compared to White men. Awareness of personal cardiovascular risk has been shown to influence health behaviors. Alternatively, the relatively lower number of Black men (n=193) in our cohort may have limited our statistical power or generalizability.

Study strengths include the national reach of the REGARDS cohort, oversampling of the Black population, the large number of rigorously collected covariates including in-home pill bottle review of medications, biometric data, and validated self-report variables. Limitations include that our COPD cohort was defined using a Medicare claims-based algorithm, a method that is susceptible to errors in omission and misclassification. Consistent with established approaches in CVD epidemiology, the REGARDS cohort baseline data collection focused on the detection of CHD, as defined by having an actual event such as a myocardial infarction or coronary intervention. Therefore, individuals with medically-managed coronary artery disease may not be included in the established CVD group. Additionally, the results of this study reflect relatively older data collected between 2003–2007. The cross-sectional, observational design of our study limits drawing causal inferences and capturing how management may have changed over time. Information on important factors such as mistrust of the health care system, access to high-quality care, and other forms of structural inequity, which impact health care utilization, were not available. While sex was a main variable for comparison, REGARDS did not collect data regarding gender identity.

In conclusion, women, especially Black women, were significantly less likely to receive indicated statin treatment compared to White men. The persistence of this disparity after controlling for individual factors influencing health care utilization suggests a need for health system-level interventions to improve receipt of statin therapy in this vulnerable population.
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