Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Diseases: Journal of the COPD Foundation®



Original Research

Lung Structure and Risk of Sleep Apnea in SPIROMICS

Abigail L. Koch, MD¹ Tracie L. Shing, DrPH² Andrew Namen, MD³ David Couper, PhD² Benjamin Smith, MD, MSc⁴ R. Graham Barr, MD, PhD⁴ Surya Bhatt, MD, MSPH⁵ Nirupama Putcha, MD, MHS⁶ Aaron Baugh, MD⁷ Amit K. Saha, PhD, MS³ Michelle Zeidler, MD⁸ Alejandro Comellas, MD⁹ Christopher B. Cooper, MD⁸ Igor Barjaktarevic, MD, PhD⁸ Russell P. Bowler, MD, PhD¹⁰ MeiLan K. Han, MD, MS¹¹ Victor Kim, MD¹² Robert Paine, III, MD¹³ Richard E. Kanner, MD¹³ Jerry A. Krishnan, MD, PhD¹⁴ Fernando J. Martinez, MD, MS¹⁵ Prescott G. Woodruff, MD, MPH⁷ Nadia N. Hansel, MD⁶ Eric A. Hoffman, PhD⁹ Stephen P. Peters, MD, PhD³ Victor E. Ortega, MD, PhD¹⁶ for the SubPopulations and InteRmediate Outcome Measures in COPD Study (SPIROMICS) Investigators

Abstract

Rationale: The SubPopulations and InteRmediate Outcome Measures in COPD Study (SPIROMICS) is a prospective cohort study that enrolled 2981 participants with the goal of identifying new chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) subgroups and intermediate markers of disease progression. Individuals with COPD and obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) experience impaired quality of life and more frequent exacerbations. COPD severity also associates with computed tomography scan-based emphysema and alterations in airway dimensions.

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether the combination of lung function and structure influences the risk of OSA among current and former smokers.

Methods: Using 2 OSA risk scores, the Berlin Sleep Questionnaire (BSQ), and the DOISNORE50 (*Diseases, Observed apnea, Insomnia, Snoring, Neck circumference* >18 inches, Obesity with body mass index [BMI] >32, R=are you male, Excessive daytime sleepiness, 50=age \geq 50) (DIS), 1767 current and former smokers were evaluated for an association of lung structure and function with OSA risk.

Measurements and Main Results: The study cohort's mean age was 63 years, BMI was 28 kg/m², and forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) was 74.8% predicted. The majority were male (55%), White (77%), former smokers (59%), and had COPD (63%). A high-risk OSA score was reported in 36% and 61% using DIS and BSQ respectively. There was a 9% increased odds of a high-risk DIS score (odds ratio [OR]=1.09, 95% confidence interval [CI]:1.03-1.14) and nominally increased odds of a high-risk BSQ score for every 10% decrease in FEV₁ %predicted (OR=1.04, 95%CI: 0.998–1.09). Lung function-OSA risk associations persisted after additionally adjusting for lung structure measurements (%emphysema, %air trapping, parametric response mapping for functional small airways disease, , mean segmental wall area, tracheal %wall area, dysanapsis) for DIS (OR=1.12, 95%CI:1.03–1.22) and BSQ (OR=1.09, 95%CI:1.01–1.18).

Conclusions: Lower lung function independently associates with having high risk for OSA in current and former smokers. Lung structural elements, especially dysanapsis, functional small airways disease, and tracheal %wall area strengthened the effects on OSA risk.

- Leonard M. Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, Miami, Florida, United States
- 2. Collaborative Studies Coordinating Center, Department of Biostatistics, Gilling's School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States
- 3. Section on Pulmonary, Critical Care, Allergy and Immunological Diseases, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Wake Forest, North Carolina, United States
- 4. Department of Medicine, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York, United States
- 5. Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, and Critical Care Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, United States
- 6. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, United States
- 7. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, California, United States

- Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, United States
- 9. Departments of Radiology, Medicine, and Bioengineering, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, United States
- 10. Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, National Jewish Health, Denver, Colorado, United States
- 11. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States
- Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, Temple University School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States
- Division of Respiratory, Critical Care, and Occupational Pulmonary Medicine, Spencer Fox Eccles School of Medicine, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, United States

- 14. Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, Sleep, and Allergy, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, United States
- 15. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, New York, United States
- Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Respiratory Diseases, Center for Individualized Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, Arizona, United States

Abbreviations:

%airway wall area=mean wall area percentage of the segmental airways; %pred=percentage predicted; AHI=apnea-hypopnea index; BMI=body mass index; BSQ=Berlin Sleep Questionnaire; CAT=COPD Assessment Test; CI=confidence interval; COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CPAP=continuous positive airway pressure; DIS=DOISNORE tool; FEV1=forced expiratory volume in 1 second; GOLD=Global initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; HCU=health care utilization; HU=Hounsfield unit; TLC=total lung capacity; OR=odds ratio; OSA=obstructive sleep apnea; PRM^{fSAD}=parametric response mapping for functional small airways disease; PPV=positive predictive value; PSG=polysomnogram; RV=residual volume; SD=standard deviation; SPIROMICS=The SubPopulations and InteRmediate Outcome Measures in COPD Study

Funding Support:

SPIROMICS was supported by contracts from the National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) (HHSN268200900013C, HHSN268200900014C, HHSN268200900015C, HHSN268200900016C, HHSN268200900017C, HHSN268200900018C, HHSN268200900019C, HHSN268200900020C), grants from the NIH/ NHLBI (U01 HL137880 and U24 HL141762), and supplemented by contributions made through the Foundation for the NIH and the COPD Foundation from AstraZeneca/MedImmune, Bayer, Bellerophon Therapeutics, Boehringer-Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A., Forest Research Institute, Inc., GlaxoSmithKline, Grifols Therapeutics, Inc., Ikaria, Inc., Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Nycomed GmbH; ProterixBio, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Sanofi, Sunovion, Takeda Pharmaceutical Company, and Theravance Biopharma and Mylan.

Citation:

Koch AL, Shing TL, Namen A, et al. Lung structure and risk of sleep apnea in SPIROMICS. *Chronic Obstr Pulm Dis.* 2024;11(1):26-36. doi: https://doi. org/10.15326/jcopdf.2023.0411

Publication Date:

Date of Acceptance: October 23, 2023 Published Online Date: November 2, 2023

Keywords:

COPD; CT-scan measurements; lung function; DOISNORE50

Address correspondence to:

Abigail L. Koch, MD University of Miami 1951 NW 7th Ave 2nd Floor Miami, FL 33136 Phone: (813)465-2644 Email:Abigail.koch@miami.edu

This article has an online supplement.

Introduction

Current and former smokers with preserved lung function may have respiratory symptoms, sleep disorders including obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), and decreased quality of life.¹⁻³ Individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and OSA experience impaired quality of life, more frequent respiratory exacerbations,^{4,5} and increased risk of mortality if not treated with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP), compared to those with COPD alone.⁶ Those with COPD and OSA also have evidence of increased airway wall thickness and increased airway wall thickness has separately been associated with increased risk for respiratory symptoms and exacerbations.^{7,8} While informative, the existing literature is limited by the lack of sleep-related questionnaires in combination with quantitative computed tomography (CT) scan parameters among people with COPD or current and former smokers.

While the combination of COPD and OSA is associated with adverse effects, worse lung function (lower forced expiratory volume in 1 second [FEV1] percentage predicted [%pred]) is associated with a better measure of OSA severity, specifically a lower apnea-hypopnea index (AHI).⁹ A potential mechanism for this beneficial association might be that individuals with advanced COPD have increased lung volumes due to air trapping and hyperinflation which provides tension that opens and stabilizes the upper airways. This mechanism is supported by CT-based studies of lung structure showing that in smokers with OSA, increased emphysema and air trapping are associated with a lower AHI.¹⁰ Dysanapsis is a CT scan-based structural measure of the mismatch between airway tree caliber to lung size that if low, is a known risk factor for COPD progression that might contribute to OSA risk and severity among those with heavier smoking histories and COPD.¹¹

While OSA is typically diagnosed with a polysomnogram (PSG) in COPD, those who need to have a sleep study are often identified with office questionnaires. The Berlin Sleep Questionnaire (BSQ) is a commonly used predictive tool and has 85%-96% positive predictive value (PPV) in a sleep clinic population¹² that has not been validated in a population of current and former smokers. A newer tool, the DOISNORE50 (Diseases, Observed apnea, Insomnia, Snoring, Neck circumference >18 inches, Obesity with body mass index [BMI] >32, R=are you male, Excessive daytime sleepiness, $50 = age \ge 50$ (DIS) tested as a patientadministered questionnaire has a PPV of 84% in a sleep clinic population that considers additional factors not included in the BSQ, specifically comorbid cardiovascular disease, age, gender, and neck circumference.^{13,14} DIS has also been associated with increased odds of inpatient medical emergency team activation among those at risk for OSA admitted to the hospital¹⁴ and may give additional

insight into why some people with or at risk for OSA have more adverse effects than others.

We hypothesize that the combination of lung function and lung structure influences the risk of sleep apnea among current and former smokers who have COPD or individuals with a prior heavy smoking history. To test this hypothesis, we evaluated how lung function and different CT-based lung structure measures associate with risk of OSA using 2 methods, the BSQ and the DIS, to identify those at risk. The DIS was used as it includes additional comorbidities that largely exist in this population and may differentially identify those at risk for OSA compared to the BSQ. Understanding associations between lung function, structure, and risk of OSA would provide evidence supporting the early recognition of concomitant COPD and OSA to improve outcomes. Further, identifying whether the newer DIS shows different associations than the established BSQ will help determine which questionnaire to use.

Methods

Subpopulations and Intermediate Outcome The Measures in COPD Study (SPIROMICS) is a prospective, multicenter cohort study that enrolled 2981 participants aged 40-80 years old across 4 strata (never users of cigarettes [≤ 1 pack years], current or ex-users [≥ 20 pack years] without airway obstruction as defined by FEV₁ to forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio≥0.70, mild-to-moderate COPD, and severe COPD based on Global initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease [GOLD] spirometric grades)¹⁵ with goals of identifying new COPD subgroups and intermediate markers of disease progression.¹⁶ Spirometry was performed following American Thoracic Society recommendations¹⁷ and lung CT scans were performed across sites based on a prespecified protocol.¹⁸ Cross-sectional analyses were performed with the 1767 participants who were current or former users of cigarettes with available OSA scoring variables, lung function, CT scan, and covariate data.

OSA risk was evaluated through the BSQ administered during the baseline clinic visit and the calculated DIS.¹³ The BSQ includes questions in 3 categories: snoring, excessive daytime sleepiness, and hypertension/obesity. Having at least 2 of 3 categories scored positive on the BSQ was considered high-risk for OSA. The DIS was not administered during SPIROMICS, however, analogous collected information on several questionnaires that were administered as part of SPIROMICS was used to calculate the score. The DIS calculation has been previously validated against PSG¹⁴ and included: a history of atrial fibrillation, stroke, hypertension, observed apnea, insomnia, snoring, neck circumference (>18 inches in males and >17 inches in females), obesity (BMI>32kg/m²), male gender,

excessive daytime sleepiness, and age \geq 50 years. The DIS was dichotomized with a score of \geq 6 indicating a high risk of having OSA. Parameters used in the DIS were determined based on association with a PSG result in the initial validation work.¹⁴ Neither the BSQ nor the DIS are validated in specific COPD populations. Work is ongoing to validate the DIS in the COPD population. We used the BSQ and the DIS to evaluate findings across 2 validated measures of OSA risk.

Continuous variables for the COPD Assessment Test $(CAT)^{19}$ and the St George's Respiratory Questionnaire $(SGRQ)^{20}$ were evaluated using linear regression models. Annualized rates of exacerbations requiring treatment (antibiotics and/or corticosteroids prescribed by a health care provider) and severe exacerbations (exacerbations requiring an emergency department visit or hospitalization) were assessed using zero-inflated negative binomial models.²¹ The OSA risk scores were the primary predictors and were evaluated individually in models of COPD severity that included standard covariates: age, sex, current smoking status, and smoking pack years.

Linear regression models were fit for each lung structure measure with OSA risk as the primary predictor. Lung structure measures included functional small airways disease (% parametric response mapping for functional small airways disease [PRM^{fSAD}])²²; air trapping (% <-856 Hounsfield units [HU] at residual volume); emphysema (% <-950 HU at total lung capacity); and airway dimensions²³ including tracheal wall area, mean wall area percentage of the segmental airways (%airway wall area), and dysanapsis (1-SD change).¹¹ Additional covariates of CT scanner model, BMI, height, and study site were included in lung structure models. Models were performed with and without FEV₁ %pred.

Due to the strong effect of FEV₁ %pred on OSA risk and lung structure, logistic regression models for OSA risk were first fit with FEV₁ %pred as the main predictor of interest, and then further adjusted for each of the lung structure measures individually. Finally, a multivariable logistic regression model was fit including covariates listed above with FEV₁ %pred, air trapping, emphysema, PRM^{fSAD}, dysanapsis, and airway dimensions. Analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina).

Results

The study cohort had a mean age of 63 years (standard deviation [SD] 8.9). The majority were male (55%), the mean BMI was 28 kg/m² (SD 5.2), and the mean neck circumference was 40.3 cm (SD 3.8) in men and 34.9 cm (SD 4.0) in women. The cohort consisted of current (41%)

For personal use only. Permission required for all other uses.

and former (59%) smokers with a mean smoking history of 48.5 pack years (SD 27.1) and a history of atrial fibrillation, hypertension, and stroke in 11%, 46%, and 5% of the cohort, respectively. The mean postbronchodilator FEV₁ was 74.8% predicted (SD 26.3) and 63% had airflow obstruction, 68% of mild-to-moderate severity based on GOLD criteria.¹⁵

The mean DIS score in SPIROMICS participants was 5.0 (SD 1.6). A high-risk OSA score was reported in 36% and 61% of participants using the DIS (\geq 6) and the BSQ (\geq 2 positive categories), respectively. Participants with a high risk of OSA measured by the DIS were more frequently male, had an older age, higher BMI, and a larger neck circumference when compared to low risk (Table 1). High-risk individuals were more likely to be former cigarette users but had a higher pack-year smoking history. Participants with a high risk of OSA were more likely to have airflow obstruction and had a lower FEV1 %pred, despite having lower percentage emphysema on a CT scan. Those with high-risk OSA scores had thicker airways with greater tracheal wall and airway wall areas when compared to those with lower-risk scores.

Of those with high-risk DIS scores, 87% were highrisk on the BSQ. Conversely, only 52% of those with highrisk BSQ scores had high-risk DIS scores. This discordance between the DIS compared to the BSQ (Kappa 0.36 [95% CI: 0.32, 0.40]) was primarily due to the inclusion of male sex and history of stroke or hypertension (see Table A1 in the online supplement). Since male sex accounted for highrisk DIS scores, we report participant characteristics by sex in the overall cohort in Table A2 in the online supplement. Men were older than women, less likely to be currently smoking, and had a higher pack-year smoking history. Men also reported fewer symptoms based on the CAT score, fewer exacerbations, better SGRQ scores, greater air trapping, emphysema, and functional small airways disease compared to women while women had thicker tracheal walls (Table A2 in the online supplement).

As expected, based on prior literature, having a highrisk OSA score was adversely associated with FEV1 % pred, mean CAT, SGRQ scores, and exacerbation rates in unadjusted and adjusted models (Figure 1). Those with high risk of OSA scores based on the DIS showed increased air trapping (estimate 0.25, 95% CI: 0.03, 0.47), tracheal wall area (estimate 0.51, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.16, 0.86), airway wall area (estimate 0.40, 95% CI: 0.08, 0.71), PRMfSAD (estimate 0.23, 95% CI: 0.04, 0.41), and decreased dysanapsis (estimate -0.1195, 95% CI: -0.2222, -0.0168) compared to those with a low risk. There was no association between a DIS high risk of OSA and emphysema. Those with a high-risk OSA BSQ score had an increased average %tracheal wall area (estimate 0.46, 95% CI: 0.14, 0.79), however, they had no significant association with air trapping, emphysema, airway wall area, or PRMfSAD. There were no associations with a high risk of OSA using either score when stratified by GOLD grades (data not shown).¹⁵ Because of the known strong association between lung structure measures on CT and lung function,⁸ we added FEV₁ %pred to the models. We found that the association between a high-risk OSA score, based on both the DIS (estimate 0.43, 95% CI: 0.08, 0.78) and the BSQ (estimate 0.41, 95% CI: 0.09, 0.73), and %tracheal wall area was the only lung structural association to remain significant after adjustment for lung function.

Because we found that FEV_1 strongly attenuated the association between OSA and CT measures, further analysis targeted the association between FEV_1 %pred and having a high risk for OSA. There was a 9% increased odds of a high-risk DIS score (OR 1.09, 95% CI: 1.03, 1.14) and a nominally increased odds of a high-risk BSQ score for every 10% decrease in FEV_1 %pred (OR 1.04, 95% CI: 0.998, 1.09).

To understand how CT-based measures of lung structure may change the association between high risk for OSA and FEV₁ %pred these covariates were added to the regression model. Lung function-OSA risk associations persisted and were strengthened after additionally adjusting for lung structure measurements when determined using both the DIS (OR 1.12, 95% CI: 1.03, 1.22) and the BSQ (OR 1.09, 95% CI: 1.01, 1.18) (Figure 2).

Discussion

Our integrative study of OSA risk scores, comprehensive COPD outcomes, and CT lung structure in the SPIROMICS cohort provides confirmatory evidence of the deleterious associations between OSA risk and multiple clinical and physiologic measures of COPD severity while providing novel insights into the interplay between lung structure measures and OSA risk that related to measures of COPD. We found that individuals with high-risk OSA scores had lower lung function, and thicker upper and lower airways. However, we found that lung function strongly attenuated the effects of most lung structure measures on OSA risk with the exception of %tracheal wall area.

The incidence of OSA in those with COPD has been reported to be between 14%-66% based on severity of obstructive ventilatory defect.^{6,24,25} Individuals with both COPD and OSA have more respiratory symptoms and exacerbations as well as poorer quality of life.^{4,5} While having lung disease measured by a decrease in lung function (FEV₁) is a known risk factor for having OSA,⁶ what had remained largely unknown was the contributions of lung structure on risk of OSA and its deleterious impact on COPD severity, independent of lung function impairment.

In line with previous reports,^{4,5,26} we demonstrate that individuals at high risk for OSA who previously used or are currently smoking tobacco with more than a 20 pack-year

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Analysis Population

Age (pars) 1767 62.9 (8.9) 642 64.7 (7.9) 1125 62.0 (9.2) <0.011	r Variable ^a	Overall Study Population (n=1767)		DOISNORE50 Score ≥6 (n=642)		DOISNORE50 Score <6 (n=1125)		<i>p</i> -value ^b
Gender (Male) (%) 1767 973 (55.1%) 642 477 (74.3%) 1125 496 (44.1%) <0.01	Age (years)	1767	62.9 (8.9)	642	64.3 (7.9)	1125	62.0 (9.2)	<.001
Race 0.014 While (%) 1767 1370 (77.5%) 642 516 (80.4%) 1125 654 (75.9%) Black (%) 1767 314 (77.8%) 642 92 (14.3%) 1125 49 (4.4%) Dther (%) 1767 83 (4.7%) 642 35 (5.5%) 1124 49 (4.4%) 1125 156 (4.4%) 1125 654 (5.5%) 1124 49 (4.4%) 1185 156 (5.5%) 1124 49 (4.4%) 1185 156 (5.5%) 1124 165 (75.9%) 1125 156 (4.4%) <0.011	Gender [Male] (%)	1767	973 (55.1%)	642	477 (74.3%)	1125	496 (44.1%)	<.001
While (%) 1767 1370 (77.5%) 642 516 (80.4%) 1125 884 (75.9%) Other (%) 1767 83 (4.7%) 642 92 (4.3%) 1125 22 (19.7%) Other (%) 1767 83 (4.7%) 642 36 (5.5%) 1124 46 (4.1%) 0.189 Bull 1767 22 (15.2%) 642 31 (4.9%) 1125 186.7 (5.0) <0.01	Race							0.014
Black (%) 642 92 (4.3%) 1125 225 (19.7%) 642 92 (4.3%) 1125 425 (19.7%) 647 94 (4.4%) 647 94 (5.3%) 1125 44 (4.1%) 0.189 647 94 (4.4%) 647 94 (5.3%) 1125 44 (4.1%) 0.189 648 146% 642 35 (5.5%) 1124 46 (4.1%) 0.189 648 146% 642 35 (5.5%) 1125 265 (4.5 <001 77 72 (9.1) 1125 265 (4.5 <001 77 72 (9.1) 1125 265 (4.5 <001 77 72 (9.1) 1125 265 (4.5 <001 77 72 (9.1) 1125 265 (4.5 <001 77 72 (9.1) 1125 265 (4.5 <001 77 72 (9.1) 1125 265 (4.5 <001 77 72 (9.1) 1125 265 (4.5 <001 77 72 (9.1) 1125 265 (4.5 <001 77 72 (9.1) 1125 265 (4.5 <001 77 72 (9.1) 1125 265 (4.5 <001 74 4.5 (9.1) 1125 1125 204 (4.5 %) 641 94 (4.5 %) 641 94 (4.5 %) 641 94 (4.5 %) 641 94 (4.5 %) 641 94 (4.5 %) 641 94 (4.5 %) 641 94 (4.5 %) 641 94 (4.5 %) 641 94 (7.5 %) 1125 504 (4.4 %) <001 11450 70 91 766 (%) 1126 513 (4.5 %) 641 94 (7.5 %) 1125 53 (4.5 %) <001 11450 70 91 766 (%) 1126 513 (4.5 %) 641 94 (7.5 %) 1125 53 (4.5 %) <001 11450 70 91 766 (%) 1126 513 (4.5 %) 641 94 (7.5 %) 1125 53 (4.5 %) <001 11450 70 91 766 (%) 1126 513 (4.5 %) 642 95 (7.6 8.5 %) 1125 51 (4.5 %) <001 1136 50 60 (9.6 1125 51 (4.5 %) 642 95 (7.6 8.5 %) 1125 51 (4.5 %) <001 1136 50 60 (9.6 1125 51 (4.5 %) 642 95 (7.6 8.5 %) 1125 51 (4.5 %) <001 1125 94 (4.7 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 642 95 (7.6 8.5 %) 1125 51 (4.5 %) <001 1136 94 (4.5 %) 642 95 (7.6 8.5 %) 1125 51 (4.5 %) <001 1125 94 (4.7 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.000 (4.5 %) 1125 1125 (9.4 (7.6 %) 0.	White (%)	1767	1370 (77.5%)	642	516 (80.4%)	1125	854 (75.9%)	
Other (%) 1767 83 (4.7%) 642 94 (5.3%) 1125 49 (4.4%) Emhicity (Hispanic) (%) 1766 81 (4.6%) 642 35 (5.5%) 1125 265 (4.6) <001	Black (%)	1767	314 (17.8%)	642	92 (14.3%)	1125	222 (19.7%)	
Ethnicip (Hspanic) (%) 1766 81 (4.6%) 642 33 (5.2%) 1124 46 (4.1%) 0.189 Height (cm) 1767 28 (5.2) 642 31.1 (4.9) 1125 28 (5.6, 6.001 Height (cm) 1767 170 (2.9, 6) 642 172.9 (9.1) 1125 168 (7.8, 5) < 0.001 Neck Circumference (cm) Male 797 40.3 (3.8) 477 42.0 (3.8) 496 38.6 (3.0) < 0.011 Formale 797 40.3 (3.8) 477 42.0 (3.8) 496 38.6 (3.0) < 0.011 Formale 797 40.3 (3.8) 477 42.0 (3.8) 496 38.6 (3.0) < 0.011 Formale 797 40.9 (4.0) 165 37.7 (3.8) 629 34.1 (3.7) < 0.011 Formale 797 43.5 (2.1) 642 226 (3.5.%) 1125 504 (44.8%) < 0.011 Pack Yars Smoking (%) 1767 70.6 (1.8) 641 467 (2.8) 1125 48.8 (2.8) < 0.011 History of Stroke (%) 1767 811 (4.9%) 642 428 (7.5.%) 1125 122 (2.9.2%) < 0.011 History of Stroke (%) 1767 811 (4.9%) 644 48 (7.5.%) 1125 122 (2.9.2.%) < 0.001 History of Palpitations, irregular heartbeat (%) 1766 200 (11.3%) 641 98 (15.3%) 1125 102 (3.1%) < 0.011 History of Palpitations, irregular heartbeat (%) 1767 10.0 (0.6%) 642 557 (86.8%) 1125 102 (3.1%) < 0.011 BSQ Apnea Risk [High Risk] (%) 1767 1767 68.0 (12.6 (5.7 (2.5.1) 1125 60.4 (2.7.5) 0.004 % Predicted Patronchodilator FEV, 1767 748 (2.6.3 642 27.3 (1.4.8) 1125 77.2 (2.7.2) 0.002 % Predicted Patronchodilator FEV, 1767 76 0.6 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 77.6 (2.7.2) 0.002 % Predicted Patronchodilator FEV, 1767 0.6 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.246 Postbronchodilator FEV, 1767 0.6 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.246 Postbronchodilator FEV, 1767 0.6 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.246 Postbronchodilator FEV, 1767 0.5 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.246 Postbronchodilator FEV, 1767 0.5 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.246 Postbronchodilator FEV, 1767 0.5 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.246 Postbronchodilator FEV, 1767 0.5 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.246 Postbronchodilator FEV, 1767 0.5 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.246 Postbronchodilator FEV, 1767 0.5 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.246 Postbronchodilator FEV, 1767 0.5 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.8 (0.2) 0.002 No atitus minatom	Other (%)	1767	83 (4.7%)	642	34 (5.3%)	1125	49 (4.4%)	
BMI 1767 22.1 (5.2) 642 31.1 (4.9) 1125 26.5 (4.6) < 0.01 Height (cm) 1767 170.2 (9.6) 642 171.2 (9.1) 1125 168.7 (9.5) < 0.01	Ethnicity [Hispanic] (%)	1766	81 (4.6%)	642	35 (5.5%)	1124	46 (4.1%)	0.189
Height (m) 1767 1702 (9.6) 642 1722 (9.1) 1125 168.7 (9.5) < 001 Neck Circumference (cm) Male 973 40.3 (3.8) 477 42.0 (3.8) 496 38.6 (3.0) < 001	BMI	1767	28.1 (5.2)	642	31.1 (4.9)	1125	26.5 (4.6)	<.001
Neck Circumference (cm) Male 973 40.3 (3.8) 477 42.0 (3.8) 496 38.6 (3.0) <001 Female 973 43.9 (4.0) 165 37.7 (3.6) 629 34.1 (3.7) <010	Height (cm)	1767	170.2 (9.6)	642	172.9 (9.1)	1125	168.7 (9.5)	<.001
Nale 973 40.3 (3.8) 477 42.0 (3.8) 496 38.6 (3.0) <0.01 Female 794 34.9 (4.0) 165 37.7 (3.6) 629 34.1 (3.7) <0.01	Neck Circumference (cm)							
Female 794 34.9 (4.0) 165 37.7 (6,6) 629 34.1 (3.7) <0.01 Current Smoking (%) 1767 730 (41.3%) 642 226 (35.2%) 1125 564 (44.8%) <0.01	Male	973	40.3 (3.8)	477	42.0 (3.8)	496	38.6 (3.0)	<.001
Current Smoking (%) 1767 730 (41.3%) 642 226 (35.2%) 1125 604 (48.%) <001 Pack Years Smoking 1767 48.5 (27.1) 642 51.7 (28.6) 1125 36.8 (26.1) <001	Female	794	34.9 (4.0)	165	37.7 (3.6)	629	34.1 (3.7)	<.001
Pack Years Smoking 1767 48.5 (27.1) 642 51.7 (28.6) 1125 46.8 (26.1) <001 History of Stroke (%) 1766 81 (4.6%) 641 46 (72%) 1125 33 (3.1%) <001	Current Smoking (%)	1767	730 (41.3%)	642	226 (35.2%)	1125	504 (44.8%)	<.001
History of Stroke (%) 1766 81 (4.6%) 641 46 (7.2%) 1125 35 (3.1%) < 0.01 History of Hypertension (%) 1767 811 (45.9%) 642 482 (75.1%) 1125 329 (29.2%) < 0.01	Pack Years Smoking	1767	48.5 (27.1)	642	51.7 (28.6)	1125	46.8 (26.1)	<.001
History of Hypertension (%) 1767 811 (45.9%) 642 482 (75.1%) 1125 329 (29.2%) <0.01 History of Palpitations, irregular hearbeat (%) 1767 1070 (60.6%) 641 98 (15.3%) 1125 10.2 (9.1%) <0.01	History of Stroke (%)	1766	81 (4.6%)	641	46 (7.2%)	1125	35 (3.1%)	<.001
History of Palpitations, irregular heartbeat (%) 1766 200 (11.3%) 641 98 (15.3%) 1125 102 (9.1%) <001 BSQ Apnea Risk (High Risk (%) 1767 1070 (60.6%) 642 557 (86.8%) 11125 513 (45.6%) <001	History of Hypertension (%)	1767	811 (45.9%)	642	482 (75.1%)	1125	329 (29.2%)	<.001
BSQ Apnea Risk [High Risk] (%) 1767 1070 (60.6%) 642 557 (86.8%) 1125 513 (45.6%) <001 DDISNORES0 Score 1767 5.0 (1.6) 642 6.6 (0.8) 1125 4.0 (1.0) % Predicted Postronchodilator FEV, 1767 74.8 (26.8) 642 65.7 (25.1) 1125 69.4 (27.2) 0.002 % Predicted Postronchodilator FVC 1767 74.8 (26.3) 642 83.3 (18.8) 1125 87.6 (20.0) <.001	History of Palpitations, irregular heartbeat (%)	1766	200 (11.3%)	641	98 (15.3%)	1125	102 (9.1%)	<.001
DDISNORE50 Score 1767 5.0 (1.6) 642 6.6 (0.8) 1125 4.0 (1.0) % Predicted Prebronchodilator FEV, 1767 68.1 (26.8) 642 65.7 (25.1) 1125 69.4 (27.6) 0.004 % Predicted Prebronchodilator FEV, 1767 68.0 (19.6) 642 72.3 (24.6) 1125 87.6 (20.0) <.001	BSQ Apnea Risk [High Risk] (%)	1767	1070 (60.6%)	642	557 (86.8%)	1125	513 (45.6%)	<.001
% Predicted Prebronchodilator FEV1 1767 68.1 (26.8) 642 65.7 (25.1) 1125 69.4 (27.6) 0.004 % Predicted Postbronchodilator FVC 1767 74.8 (26.3) 642 72.3 (24.6) 1125 76.2 (27.2) 0.002 % Predicted Postbronchodilator FVC 1767 86.0 (19.6) 642 83.3 (18.8) 1125 87.6 (20.0) <001	DOISNORE50 Score	1767	5.0 (1.6)	642	6.6 (0.8)	1125	4.0 (1.0)	
% Predicted Postbronchodilator FEV1 1767 74.8 (26.3) 642 72.3 (24.6) 1125 76.2 (27.2) 0.002 % Predicted Prebronchodilator FVC 1767 86.0 (19.6) 642 83.3 (18.8) 1125 87.6 (20.0) <.001 % Predicted Postbronchodilator FVC 1767 91.9 (18.1) 642 89.2 (17.3) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.246 Postbronchodilator FEV,IFVC 1767 0.6 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.246 Postbronchodilator FEV,IFVC 1767 0.6 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.246 Postbronchodilator FEV,IFVC 1767 0.6 (0.2) 642 203 (31.6%) 1125 161 (14.3%) 0.002 GOLD 1: Mild 1767 266 (14.5%) 642 295 (14.8%) 1125 163 (14.5%) 602 213 (31.6%) 1125 163 (14.5%) GOLD 3: Severe 1767 298 (55.%) 642 38 (30.2) 1029 29.5 (18.8) <0.01 GOLD 4: Very Severe 1767	% Predicted Prebronchodilator FEV ₁	1767	68.1 (26.8)	642	65.7 (25.1)	1125	69.4 (27.6)	0.004
% Predicted Prebronchodilator FVC 1767 86 0 (19.6) 642 83 3 (18.8) 1125 87.6 (20.0) < 0.01 % Predicted Postbronchodilator FVC 1767 91 9 (18.1) 642 89 2 (17.3) 1125 93 4 (18.4) < 0.01	% Predicted Postbronchodilator FEV ₁	1767	74.8 (26.3)	642	72.3 (24.6)	1125	76.2 (27.2)	0.002
% Predicted Postbronchodilator FVC 1767 91.9 (18.1) 642 89.2 (17.3) 1125 93.4 (18.4) <.001 Prebronchodilator FEV,/FVC 1767 0.6 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.246 Postbronchodilator FEV,/FVC 1767 0.6 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.246 Ob airflow limitation 1767 266 (14.5%) 642 203 (31.6%) 1125 445 (39.6%) 0.002 GOLD 1: Mild 1767 256 (14.5%) 642 95 (14.8%) 1125 161 (14.3%) 0.002 GOLD 2: Moderate 1767 261 (14.8%) 642 93 (15.3%) 1125 163 (14.5%) 602 31 (4.8%) 1125 67 (6.0%) GOLD 4: Very Severe 1767 281 (14.8%) 642 38 (20.2) 1007 12.7 (8.0) <.001	% Predicted Prebronchodilator FVC	1767	86.0 (19.6)	642	83.3 (18.8)	1125	87.6 (20.0)	<.001
Prebronchodilator FEV,IFVC 1767 0.6 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.246 Postbronchodilator FEV,IFVC 1767 0.6 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.138 GOLD Stage COPD Severity	% Predicted Postbronchodilator FVC	1767	91.9 (18.1)	642	89.2 (17.3)	1125	93.4 (18.4)	<.001
Postbronchodilator FEV./FVC 1767 0.6 (0.2) 642 0.6 (0.2) 1125 0.6 (0.2) 0.138 GOLD Stage COPD Severity	Prebronchodilator FEV ₁ /FVC	1767	0.6 (0.2)	642	0.6 (0.2)	1125	0.6 (0.2)	0.246
GOLD Stage COPD Severity 0.002 No airflow limitation 1767 648 (36.7%) 642 203 (31.6%) 1125 445 (39.6%) GOLD 1: Mild 1767 256 (14.5%) 642 95 (14.8%) 1125 161 (14.3%) GOLD 2: Moderate 1767 504 (28.5%) 642 215 (33.5%) 1125 289 (25.7%) GOLD 3: Severe 1767 261 (14.8%) 642 98 (15.3%) 1125 67 (6.0%) CAT Score 1687 13.9 (8.2) 620 16.1 (8.1) 1067 12.7 (8.0) <001	Postbronchodilator FEV ₁ /FVC	1767	0.6 (0.2)	642	0.6 (0.2)	1125	0.6 (0.2)	0.138
No airflow limitation 1767 648 (36.7%) 642 203 (31.6%) 1125 445 (39.6%) GOLD 1: Mild 1767 256 (14.5%) 642 95 (14.8%) 11125 161 (14.3%) GOLD 2: Moderate 1767 504 (28.5%) 642 215 (33.5%) 11125 289 (25.7%) GOLD 3: Severe 1767 261 (14.8%) 642 39 (15.3%) 11125 63 (14.5%) GOLD 4: Very Severe 1767 281 (14.8%) 642 39 (15.3%) 1125 63 (14.5%) GOLD 5: Severe 1767 98 (5.5%) 642 31 (4.8%) 1125 67 (6.0%) CAT Score 1684 32.8 (20.4) 595 38.3 (20.2) 1029 29.5 (19.8) <.001	GOLD Stage COPD Severity				, , ,			0.002
GOLD 1: Mild 1767 256 (14.5%) 642 95 (14.8%) 1125 161 (14.3%) GOLD 2: Moderate 1767 504 (28.5%) 642 215 (33.5%) 1125 289 (25.7%) GOLD 3: Severe 1767 261 (14.8%) 642 98 (15.3%) 1125 163 (14.5%) GOLD 4: Very Severe 1767 98 (5.5%) 642 31 (4.8%) 1125 67 (6.0%) CAT Score 1687 13.9 (8.2) 620 16.1 (8.1) 1067 12.7 (8.0) <001	No airflow limitation	1767	648 (36.7%)	642	203 (31.6%)	1125	445 (39.6%)	
GOLD 2: Moderate 1767 504 (28.5%) 642 215 (33.5%) 1125 289 (25.7%) GOLD 3: Severe 1767 261 (14.8%) 642 98 (15.3%) 1125 163 (14.5%) GOLD 4: Very Severe 1767 261 (14.8%) 642 98 (15.3%) 1125 67 (6.0%) CAT Score 1667 13.9 (8.2) 620 16.1 (8.1) 1067 12.7 (8.0) <.001	GOLD 1: Mild	1767	256 (14.5%)	642	95 (14.8%)	1125	161 (14.3%)	-
GOLD 3: Severe 1767 261 (14.8%) 642 98 (15.3%) 1125 163 (14.5%) GOLD 4: Very Severe 1767 98 (5.5%) 642 31 (4.8%) 1125 67 (6.0%) CAT Score 1687 13.9 (8.2) 620 16.1 (8.1) 1067 12.7 (8.0) <.001	GOLD 2: Moderate	1767	504 (28.5%)	642	215 (33.5%)	1125	289 (25.7%)	
GOLD 4: Very Severe 1767 98 (5.5%) 642 31 (4.8%) 1125 67 (6.0%) CAT Score 1687 13.9 (8.2) 620 16.1 (8.1) 1067 12.7 (8.0) <.001	GOLD 3: Severe	1767	261 (14.8%)	642	98 (15.3%)	1125	163 (14.5%)	
CAT Score 1687 13.9 (8.2) 620 16.1 (8.1) 1067 12.7 (8.0) <.001 SGRQ Score 1624 32.8 (20.4) 595 38.3 (20.2) 1029 29.5 (19.8) <.001 Annualized Rate of HCU/Drug Exacerbations 1716 0.4 (0.7) 628 0.4 (0.8) 1088 0.3 (0.7) 0.054 Annualized Rate of Severe Exacerbations 1716 0.1 (0.4) 628 0.2 (0.5) 1088 0.1 (0.4) 0.065 Low Attenuation Areas on Full-Lung CT Percentage below and including -856 Hounsfield units at RV 1755 23.7 (21.4) 639 22.3 (19.8) 1116 24.4 (22.2) 0.042 Percentage below and including -950 Hounsfield units at TLC 1756 7.7 (10.2) 640 6.6 (8.8) 1116 8.3 (10.9) <.001 Airway Dimensions Trachea Lumen Area (mm ²) 1756 275.1 (75.4) 641 284.8 (75.2) 1115 175.2 (34.0) <.001 Trachea Percentage Wall Area (mm ²) 1756 181.7 (35.5) 641 192.9 (35.4) 11115 175.2 (34.0) <.001	GOLD 4: Very Severe	1767	98 (5.5%)	642	31 (4.8%)	1125	67 (6.0%)	
SGRQ Score 1624 32.8 (20.4) 595 38.3 (20.2) 1029 29.5 (19.8) <.001 Annualized Rate of HCU/Drug Exacerbations 1716 0.4 (0.7) 628 0.4 (0.8) 1088 0.3 (0.7) 0.054 Annualized Rate of Severe Exacerbations 1716 0.1 (0.4) 628 0.2 (0.5) 1088 0.1 (0.4) 0.065 Low Attenuation Areas on Full-Lung CT Percentage below and including -856 Hounsfield units at RV 1755 23.7 (21.4) 639 22.3 (19.8) 1116 24.4 (22.2) 0.042 Percentage below and including -950 Hounsfield units at TLC 1756 7.7 (10.2) 640 6.6 (8.8) 1116 8.3 (10.9) <.001	CAT Score	1687	13.9 (8.2)	620	16.1 (8.1)	1067	12.7 (8.0)	<.001
Annualized Rate of HCU/Drug Exacerbations 1716 0.4 (0.7) 628 0.4 (0.8) 1088 0.3 (0.7) 0.054 Annualized Rate of Severe Exacerbations 1716 0.1 (0.4) 628 0.2 (0.5) 1088 0.1 (0.4) 0.065 Low Attenuation Areas on Full-Lung CT Percentage below and including -856 Hounsfield units at RV 1755 23.7 (21.4) 639 22.3 (19.8) 1116 24.4 (22.2) 0.042 Percentage below and including -950 Hounsfield units at TLC 1756 7.7 (10.2) 640 6.6 (8.8) 1116 8.3 (10.9) <.001	SGRQ Score	1624	32.8 (20.4)	595	38.3 (20.2)	1029	29.5 (19.8)	<.001
Annualized Rate of Severe Exacerbations 1716 0.1 (0.4) 628 0.2 (0.5) 1088 0.1 (0.4) 0.065 Low Attenuation Areas on Full-Lung CT Percentage below and including -856 Hounsfield units at RV 1755 23.7 (21.4) 639 22.3 (19.8) 1116 24.4 (22.2) 0.042 Percentage below and including -950 Hounsfield units at TLC 1756 7.7 (10.2) 640 6.6 (8.8) 1116 8.3 (10.9) <.001	Annualized Rate of HCU/Drug Exacerbations	1716	0.4 (0.7)	628	0.4 (0.8)	1088	0.3 (0.7)	0.054
Low Attenuation Areas on Full-Lung CT Percentage below and including -856 Hounsfield units at RV 1755 23.7 (21.4) 639 22.3 (19.8) 1116 24.4 (22.2) 0.042 Percentage below and including -950 Hounsfield units at TLC 1756 7.7 (10.2) 640 6.6 (8.8) 1116 8.3 (10.9) <.001	Annualized Rate of Severe Exacerbations	1716	0.1 (0.4)	628	0.2 (0.5)	1088	0.1 (0.4)	0.065
Percentage below and including -856 Hounsfield units at RV 1755 23.7 (21.4) 639 22.3 (19.8) 1116 24.4 (22.2) 0.042 Percentage below and including -950 Hounsfield units at TLC 1756 7.7 (10.2) 640 6.6 (8.8) 1116 8.3 (10.9) <.001	Low Attenuation Areas on Full-Lung CT				, , ,			
Percentage below and including -950 Hounsfield units at TLC 1756 7.7 (10.2) 640 6.6 (8.8) 1116 8.3 (10.9) <.001 Airway Dimensions Trachea Lumen Area (mm²) 1756 275.1 (75.4) 641 284.8 (75.2) 1115 269.5 (74.9) <.001 Trachea Wall Area (mm²) 1756 181.7 (35.5) 641 192.9 (35.4) 1115 175.2 (34.0) <.001	Percentage below and including -856 Hounsfield units at RV	1755	23.7 (21.4)	639	22.3 (19.8)	1116	24.4 (22.2)	0.042
Airway Dimensions Trachea Lumen Area (mm²) 1756 275.1 (75.4) 641 284.8 (75.2) 1115 269.5 (74.9) <.001 Trachea Lumen Area (mm²) 1756 181.7 (35.5) 641 192.9 (35.4) 1115 175.2 (34.0) <.001	Percentage below and including -950 Hounsfield units at TLC	1756	7.7 (10.2)	640	6.6 (8.8)	1116	8.3 (10.9)	<.001
Trachea Lumen Area (mm²)1756275.1 (75.4)641284.8 (75.2)1115269.5 (74.9)<.001Trachea Wall Area (mm²)1756181.7 (35.5)641192.9 (35.4)1115175.2 (34.0)<.001	Airway Dimensions		,					
Trachea Wall Area (mm²) 1756 181.7 (35.5) 641 192.9 (35.4) 1115 175.2 (34.0) <.001 Trachea Percentage Wall Area 1756 40.2 (3.3) 641 40.8 (3.3) 1115 39.9 (3.3) <.001	Trachea Lumen Area (mm ²)	1756	275.1 (75.4)	641	284.8 (75.2)	1115	269.5 (74.9)	<.001
Trachea Percentage Wall Area 1756 40.2 (3.3) 641 40.8 (3.3) 1115 39.9 (3.3) <.001 Mean Segmental Lumen Area (mm ²) 1756 24.5 (7.4) 640 24.9 (6.7) 1116 24.3 (7.7) 0.088 Mean Segmental Wall Area (mm ²) 1758 34.4 (6.2) 641 36.0 (6.0) 1117 33.4 (6.1) <.001	Trachea Wall Area (mm ²)	1756	181.7 (35.5)	641	192.9 (35.4)	1115	175.2 (34.0)	<.001
Mean Segmental Lumen Area (mm²) 1756 24.5 (7.4) 640 24.9 (6.7) 1116 24.3 (7.7) 0.088 Mean Segmental Wall Area (mm²) 1758 34.4 (6.2) 641 36.0 (6.0) 1117 33.4 (6.1) <.001	Trachea Percentage Wall Area	1756	40.2 (3.3)	641	40.8 (3.3)	1115	39.9 (3.3)	<.001
Mean Segmental Vall Area (mm²) 1758 34.4 (6.2) 641 36.0 (6.0) 1117 33.4 (6.1) <.001 Mean Segmental Vall Area (mm²) 1758 34.4 (6.2) 641 36.0 (6.0) 1117 33.4 (6.1) <.001	Mean Segmental Lumen Area (mm ²)	1756	24.5 (7.4)	640	24.9 (6.7)	1116	24.3 (7.7)	0.088
Mean Segmental Percentage Wall Area 1758 60.3 (2.9) 641 60.9 (2.8) 1117 59.9 (2.9) <.001 PRM ^{fSAD} (ratio of voxels fSAD to the total voxels in the lung) 1588 19.7 (15.2) 579 19.6 (14.9) 1009 19.8 (15.4) 0.757 Dysanapsis (airway to lung ratio) 1755 0.031 (0.004) 640 0.031 (0.004) 1115 0.031 (0.004) 0.993	Mean Segmental Wall Area (mm ²)	1758	34.4 (6.2)	641	36.0 (6.0)	1117	33.4 (6.1)	<.001
PRM ^{fSAD} (ratio of voxels fSAD to the total voxels in the lung) 1588 19.7 (15.2) 579 19.6 (14.9) 1009 19.8 (15.4) 0.757 Dysanapsis (airway to lung ratio) 1755 0.031 (0.004) 640 0.031 (0.004) 1115 0.031 (0.004) 0.993	Mean Segmental Percentage Wall Area	1758	60.3 (2.9)	641	60.9 (2.8)	1117	59.9 (2.9)	<.001
Dysanapsis (airway to lung ratio) 1755 0.031 (0.004) 640 0.031 (0.004) 1115 0.031 (0.004) 0.993	PRM ^{fSAD} (ratio of voxels fSAD to the total voxels in the lung)	1588	19.7 (15.2)	579	19.6 (14.9)	1009	19.8 (15.4)	0.757
	Dysanapsis (airway to lung ratio)	1755	0.031 (0.004)	640	0.031 (0.004)	1115	0.031 (0.004)	0.993

^aValues are mean (SD) except as stated;

^bP-value for 2 sample *t*-test assuming unequal variances when mean (standard deviation) is presented or for Pearson chi-square test for categorical variables.

BMI=body mass index; BSQ=Berlin Sleep Questionnaire; FEV1=forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC=forced vital capacity; GOLD=Global initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CAT=COPD Assessment Test; SGRQ=St George's Respiratory Questionnaire; HCU=health care utilization; CT=computed tomography; RV=residual volume; TLC=total lung capacity; PRM^{fSAD}=parametric response mapping for functional small airways disease; SD=standard deviation

Figure 1. Forest Plot of COPD Outcomes by Obstructive Sleep Apnea High Risk Versus Low Risk Unadjusted and Adjusted for Percentage Predicted Postbronchodilator Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 Second



COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CI=confidence interval; CAT=COPD Assessment Test; SGRQ=St George's Respiratory Questionnaire; BSQ=Berlin Sleep Questionnaire

Figure 2. Forest Plot for Effect of a 10-Unit Decrease in Percentage Predicted Postbronchodilator Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 Second on Odds of Obstructive Sleep Apnea High Risk



OR=odds ratio; CI=confidence interval; PRM-fSAD=parametric response mapping for functional small airways disease;BSQ=Berlin Sleep Questionnaire

For personal use only. Permission required for all other uses.

history experienced increased symptoms, impaired respiratory quality of life, and an increased frequency of exacerbations. Hence, while we were unable to confirm a diagnosis of OSA using PSG in these participants, we were indeed able to recapitulate the adverse effects of being at risk for OSA on COPD outcomes using 2 different OSA risk measures. It has been reported that those with severe emphysema have a less severe AHI^{9,10} which may be why OSA is largely unrecognized in this population. Consistent with prior reports, we found that those at high risk for OSA had lower measures of quantitative emphysema on CT scan, however, severity of OSA based on the AHI was lacking. Further, it is hypothesized that individuals with increased air trapping and hyperinflation may experience a protective effect on the AHI due to pull on the upper airway allowing maintained patency. Contrary to this hypothesis, we showed that those with increased air trapping were at high risk for OSA. This may, in part, be due to the low overall median %air trapping in this cohort which may not translate to hyperinflation and the theoretic protective effect.

The novelty of this study is that we were able to identify those at high risk for OSA using 2 scores which were then applied to integrative approaches focused on determining the contribution of lung function and lung structure on determining OSA risk. For the DIS, every 10% decrease in FEV₁ was associated with a 9% increased odds of having a high-risk OSA score, and that association was even stronger when lung structural measures were applied to the model with a 12% increased odds of high-risk DIS. Hence, having incremental impairments in lung function is independently associated with being at high risk for OSA in these current and former heavy users of cigarettes. This effect persisted by degree of dysanapsis, emphysema, air trapping, functional small airways disease, and tracheal wall area despite their individual structural effects on OSA risk. Of these, only tracheal wall area remained associated with being at high risk for OSA when lung function was considered in our models. Increased trachea wall area may be due to smooth muscle hypertrophy or tissue fibrosis and remodeling in the setting of chronic inflammation due to smoking, COPD, and potentially intermittent hypoxia. The tracheal changes seen here may be a correlate to a similar phenomenon of remodeling and thickening of the upper airway which is known to be associated with obstructive sleep apnea.²⁷

The associations differed between the OSA risk score estimates, as evident by the stronger lung function and structural associations found for the DIS compared to the BSQ. It is important to note that although designed to identify OSA, sleep questionnaires also identify other breathingrelated sleep disorders, some unique to those with COPD. Hence, the notable difference here could be related to the presence of multiple nocturnal arousals and comorbidities. The addition of sex to the score was a likely driver in the differences of OSA risk estimated between the 2 scores as men have a higher risk for OSA²⁸ and often greater smoking histories compared to women.²⁹ In this study, those at high risk for OSA based on the DIS had a higher pack-year smoking history which may have contributed to the extent of lung structural abnormalities. The inclusion of age, sex, neck circumference, and comorbidities in the DIS score also led to fewer participants being considered at high risk for OSA compared to the BSQ. We included sex and pack-year smoking histories into our models to address their individual impacts on OSA and COPD risk and severity. Atrial fibrillation and stroke are comorbidities that were considered on the DIS but not the BSQ; however, both questionnaires included hypertension, but compared to the self-reported data in the BSQ, the DIS used data extracted from the SPIROMICS database.

The more stringent criteria for being at high risk for OSA using the DIS might have allowed the identification of stronger lung function and structural associations with OSA risk compared to the broader and more inclusive BSQ. This highlights the need to develop OSA predictive tools specific to individuals with heavy smoking histories, those with COPD, or at risk for developing COPD. This is of particular importance as those with respiratory symptoms and frequent exacerbations related to COPD may receive focused care based on cough and dyspnea rather than sleep habits, leading to the underrecognition of OSA in this important at-risk group.

Limitations of this study include the lack of PSG to confirm the diagnosis and provide the type and severity of sleep apnea, lack of adjustment for the use of sedating medications, and the post hoc calculation of the DIS score. This cohort has known cardiac comorbidities that may have a component of central sleep apnea. Lack of PSG does not allow for an understanding of how central versus obstructive sleep apnea, as well as severity of disease, may alter the associations identified in this study. However, regardless of PSG-confirmed OSA, it is important to properly identify those at risk for OSA so that providers are not under or over-ordering a test that is cumbersome, time-consuming, and expensive to perform. Strengths of this study are the comprehensively characterized cohort of individuals with a prior or current history of heavy smoking with available spirometry and CT scans assessed for 2 different OSA risk scores.

We were able to demonstrate the strong association between lung function impairment and being at high risk for OSA, independent of lung structure while also demonstrating for the first time the contribution of lung structure measures, of which tracheal wall area impacts risk, independent of lung function. Our findings suggest that both lung function and structure contribute to the risk of OSA in those with heavy smoking histories and COPD and that the early recognition and treatment of OSA could have a beneficial impact on COPD-related symptoms, exacerbations, quality of life, and mortality.

Acknowledgements

Authors Contributions: ALK, TLS, AN, AKS, DC, and VEO contributed to conception and design. Data analysis and interpretation were provided by ALK, TLS, AN, DC, and VEO. ALK, TLS, AN, DC, and VEO drafted the manuscript while ALK, TLS, AN, DC, BS, RGB, SB, NP, AB, AKS, MZ, AC, CBC, IB, RPB, MKH, VK, RP, REK, JAK, FJM, PGW, NNH, EH, SP, and VEO contributed significant intellectual content. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

Data sharing: More information about the study and how to access SPIROMICS data is available at www.spiromics.org.

We would like to acknowledge the following current and former investigators of the SPIROMICS sites and reading centers: Neil E. Alexis, MD; Wayne H. Anderson, PhD; Mehrdad Arjomandi, MD; Igor Barjaktarevic, MD, PhD; R. Graham Barr, MD, DrPH; Patricia Basta, PhD; Lori A. Bateman, MSc; Surya P. Bhatt, MD; Eugene R. Bleecker, MD; Richard C. Boucher, MD; Russell P. Bowler, MD, PhD; Stephanie A. Christenson, MD; Alejandro P. Comellas, MD; Christopher B. Cooper, MD, PhD; David J. Couper, PhD; Gerard J. Criner, MD; Ronald G. Crystal, MD; Jeffrey L. Curtis, MD; Claire M. Doerschuk, MD; Mark T. Dransfield, MD; Brad Drummond, MD; Christine M. Freeman, PhD; Craig Galban, PhD; MeiLan K. Han, MD, MS; Nadia N. Hansel, MD, MPH; Annette T. Hastie, PhD; Eric A. Hoffman, PhD; Yvonne Huang, MD; Robert J. Kaner, MD; Richard E. Kanner, MD; Eric C. Kleerup, MD; Jerry A. Krishnan, MD, PhD; Lisa M. LaVange, PhD; Stephen C. Lazarus, MD; Fernando J. Martinez, MD, MS; Deborah A. Meyers, PhD; Wendy C. Moore, MD; John D. Newell, Jr, MD; Robert Paine, III, MD; Laura Paulin, MD, MHS; Stephen P. Peters, MD, PhD; Cheryl Pirozzi, MD; Nirupama Putcha, MD, MHS; Elizabeth C. Oelsner, MD, MPH; Wanda K. O'Neal, PhD; Victor E. Ortega, MD, PhD; Sanjeev Raman, MBBS, MD; Stephen I. Rennard, MD; Donald P. Tashkin, MD; J. Michael Wells, MD; Robert A. Wise, MD; and Prescott G. Woodruff, MD, MPH. The project officers from the Lung Division of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute were Lisa Postow, PhD, and Lisa Viviano, BSN.

The authors thank the SPIROMICS participants and participating physicians, investigators, and staff for making this research possible. The authors would like to acknowledge the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill BioSpecimen Processing Facility for sample processing, storage, and sample disbursements (http://bsp.web.unc.edu/).

Declaration of Interest

Drs. Koch, Namen, Baugh, Sada, Zeidler, Comellas, Bowler, and Kanner and Ms. Shing have nothing to declare. Dr. Couper reports grants from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) and the COPD Foundation during the conduct of the study. Dr. Smith reports grants from the National Institutes of Health (NIH), the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, McGill University Health Centre Foundation, the Canadian Lung Association, and the Quebec Health Research Fund. Dr. Barr reports grants from the NIH, the Foundation for the NIH, and the COPD Foundation, during the conduct of the study; and grants from the Alpha-1 Foundation and personal fees from UpToDate, outside the submitted work. Dr. Bhatt reports grants from the NIH, has received consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim and Sanofi/Regeneron, and CME fees from Integrity CE in the past 3 years. Dr. Putcha reports grants from the NIH. Dr. Cooper reports grants from the NIH/ NHLBI and the Foundation of the NIH, during the conduct of the study; personal fees from PulmonX and NUVAIRA, other from GlaxoSmithKline and personal fees from MGC Diagnostics, outside the submitted work. Dr. Barjaktarevic reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, grants from AMGEN, grants and personal fees from GE Healthcare, personal fees from Grifols, personal fees from Verona Pharma, personal fees from GSK, grants and personal fees from Mylan/Theravance, outside the submitted work. Dr. Han reports personal fees from GSK, personal fees from BI, personal fees from AZ, personal fees from Merck, personal fees from Mylan, nonfinancial support from Novartis, and nonfinancial support from Sunovion, outside the submitted work. Dr. Kim reports personal fees from Galvanize Therapeutics for his role as a consultant (total sum <\$5000). Dr. Paine reports grants from the NHLBI and the COPD Foundation, during the conduct of the study and grants from the Department of Veterans Affairs, outside the submitted work. Dr. Krishnan reports research support from the NIH, the COPD Foundation, Regeneron, the Sergey Brin Family Foundation, the U.S Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute, and the American Lung Association and consulting fees paid by GSK (for COVID-19 biologics), AstraZeneca (for asthma biologics), CereVu Medical, Propeller/ResMed, and BData. Dr. Martinez reports grants from the Department of Defense, during the conduct of the study; personal fees, nonfinancial support, and other from AstraZeneca, personal fees, nonfinancial support, and other from Boehringer Ingelheim, nonfinancial support and other from ProterrixBio, personal fees from Columbia University, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Genentech, personal fees and nonfinancial support from GlaxoSmithKline, personal fees and non-financial support from Inova Fairfax Health System, personal fees from MD Magazine, personal fees from Methodist Hospital Brooklyn, personal fees and nonfinancial support from

Miller Communications, personal fees and nonfinancial support from the National Association for Continuing Education, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Novartis, personal fees from New York University, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Pearl Pharmaceuticals, personal fees and nonfinancial support from PeerView Communications, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Prime Communications, personal fees and nonfinancial support from the Puerto Rican Respiratory Society, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Chiesi, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Sunovion, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Theravance, personal fees from UpToDate, personal fees from WebMD/MedScape, other from Afferent/Merck, nonfinancial support from Gilead, nonfinancial support from Nitto, personal fees and other from Patara/Respivant, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Potomac, other from Biogen, personal fees and nonfinancial support from the University of Alabama Birmingham, other from Veracyte, nonfinancial support from Zambon, personal fees from the American Thoracic Society, grants from NIH, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Physicians Education Resource, personal fees from Rockpointe, other from Prometic, personal fees from Rare Disease Healthcare Communications, other from Bayer, other from Bridge Biotherapeutics, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Canadian Respiratory Network, other from ProMedior, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Teva, personal fees from the France Foundation, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Dartmouth, other from Gala, and personal fees from Physicians Education Resource,

outside the submitted work. Dr. Woodruff is a consultant for Astra Zeneca, Theravance, Glenmark pharmaceuticals, Sanofi, and Regeneron and has received funding from Genetech and the COPD Foundation. Dr. Hansel reports grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca, grants and personal fees from GSK, grants from Boehringer Ingelheim, grants from the NIH, and the COPD Foundation, and personal fees from Mylan, outside the submitted work. Dr. Hoffman is a founder and shareholder of VIDA Diagnostics, a company commercializing lung image analysis software developed, in part, at the University of Iowa. Dr. Peters reports grants from the NIH/NHLBI, during the conduct of the study; personal fees from Array Biopharma, personal fees from Integrity CE, personal fees from AstraZeneca, personal fees from Aerocrine, personal fees from Boehringer-Ingelheim, personal fees from Experts in Asthma, personal fees from Gilead, personal fees from GlaxoSmithKline, personal fees from Merck, personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Ono Pharmaceuticals, personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from PPD Development, personal fees from Quintiles, personal fees from Sunovion, personal fees from Saatchi & Saatichi, personal fees from Targacept, personal fees from TEVA, personal fees from Theron, personal fees from AstraZeneca, personal fees from Sanofi and Regeneron, and grants from the NIH/NHLBI, outside the submitted work. Dr. Ortega reports grants from the NIH, personal fees from CSL Behring, and personal fees from Regeneron and Sanofi for independent data and monitoring committee participation outside the submitted work.

References

- Woodruff PG, Barr RG, Bleecker E, et al. Clinical significance of symptoms in smokers with preserved pulmonary function. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374(19):1811-1821. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1505971
- Purani H, Friedrichsen S, Allen AM. Sleep quality in cigarette smokers: associations with smoking-related outcomes and exercise. *Addict Behav.* 2019;90:71-76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2018.10.023
- Esen AD, Akpinar M. Relevance of obstructive sleep apnea and smoking: obstructive sleep apnea and smoking. *Fam Pract.* 2021;38(2):181-186. https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmaa112
- 4. Omachi TA, Blanc PD, Claman DM, et al. Disturbed sleep among COPD patients is longitudinally associated with mortality and adverse COPD outcomes. *Sleep Med.* 2012;13(5):476-483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2011.12.007
- 5. Zeidler MR, Martin JL, Kleerup EC, et al. Sleep disruption as a predictor of quality of life among patients in the subpopulations and intermediate outcome measures in COPD study (SPIROMICS). *Sleep.* 2018;41(5):zsy044. https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsy044
- Marin JM, Soriano JB, Carrizo SJ, Boldova A, Celli BR. Outcomes in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and obstructive sleep apnea: the overlap syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2010;182(3):325-331. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200912-1869OC
- Koch AL, Brown RH, Woo H, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea and airway dimensions in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* 2020;17(1):116-118. https://doi.org/10.1513/AnnalsATS.201903-220RL
- Han MK, Kazerooni EA, Lynch DA, et al. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations in the COPDGene study: associated radiologic phenotypes. *Radiology*. 2011; 261(1):274-282. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.11110173
- Zhu J, Zhao Z, Nie Q, et al. Effect of lung function on the apneahypopnea index in patients with overlap syndrome: a multicenter cross-sectional study. *Sleep Breath.* 2020;24(3):1059-1066. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11325-019-01961-w
- Krachman SL, Tiwari R, Vega ML, et al. Effect of emphysema severity on the apnea-hypopnea index in smokers with obstructive sleep apnea. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* 2016;13(7): 1129-1135. https://doi.org/10.1513/AnnalsATS.201511-765OC
- Smith BM, Kirby M, Hoffman EA, et al. Association of dysanapsis with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among older adults. *JAMA*. 2020;323(22):2268-2280. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.6918
- Netzer NC, Stoohs RA, Netzer CM, Clark K, Strohl KP. Using the Berlin questionnaire to identify patients at risk for the sleep apnea syndrome. *Ann Intern Med.* 1999;131(7):485-491. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-131-7-199910050-00002

- 13. Namen AM, Forest DJ, Ahmad ZN, et al. Preoperative sleep questionnaires identify medical emergency team activation in older adults. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2019;20(10):1340-1343.e2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2019.04.024
- 14. Namen AM, Forest D, Saha AK, et al. DOISNORE50: a perioperative sleep questionnaire predictive of obstructive sleep apnea and postoperative medical emergency team activation. A learning health system approach to sleep questionnaire development and screening. *J Clin Sleep Med.* 2022;18(8):1909-1919. https://doi.org/10.5664/jcsm.10006
- 15. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD). Global strategy for the diagnosis, management and prevention of COPD. 2021 report. GOLD website. Published 2021. Accessed April 2023. https://goldcopd.org/archived-reports/
- Couper D, LaVange LM, Han M, et al. Design of the subpopulations and intermediate outcomes in COPD study (SPIROMICS). *Thorax*. 2014;69(5):491-494. https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2013-203897
- Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J.* 2005;26(2):319-338. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.05.00034805
- Sieren JP, Newell JD, Barr RG, et al. SPIROMICS protocol for multicenter quantitative computed tomography to phenotype the lungs. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2016;194(7):794-806. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201506-1208PP
- Jones PW, Harding G, Berry P, Wiklund I, Chen W-H, Kline Leidy N. Development and first validation of the COPD Assessment Test. *Eur Respir J.* 2009;34(3):648-654. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00102509
- 20. Jones PW, Quirk FH, Baveystock CM, The St George's Respiratory Questionnaire. *Respir Med.* 1991;85 Suppl B:25-31. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0954-6111(06)80166-6
- 21. Han MK, Quibrera PM, Carretta EA, et al. Frequency of exacerbations in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: an analysis of the SPIROMICS cohort. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2017;5(8):619-626. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(17)30207-2
- 22. Galban CJ, Han MK, Boes JL, et al. Computed tomography-based biomarker provides unique signature for diagnosis of COPD phenotypes and disease progression. *Nat Med.* 2012; 18(11):1711-1715. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2971
- 23. Oelsner EC, Ortega VE, Smith BM, et al. A genetic risk score associated with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease susceptibility and lung structure on computed Tomography. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2019;200(6):721-731. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201812-23550C
- 24. Soler X, Gaio E, Powell FL, et al. High prevalence of obstructive sleep apnea in patients with moderate to severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* 2015; 12(8):1219-1225. https://doi.org/10.1513/annalsats.201407-336oc

- 25. Sanders MH, Newman AB, Haggerty CL, et al. Sleep and sleepdisordered breathing in adults with predominantly mild obstructive airway disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2003; 167(1):7-14. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.2203046
- 26. Naranjo M, Willes L, Prillaman BA, Quan SF, Sharma S, et al. Undiagnosed OSA may significantly affect outcomes in adults admitted for COPD in an inner-city hospital. *Chest.* 2020;158(3):1198-1207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2020.03.036
- 27. Schellenberg JB, Maislin G, Schwab RJ. Physical findings and the risk for obstructive sleep apnea. The importance of oropharyngeal structures. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2000;162(2 Pt 1):740-748. https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.162.2.9908123
- Senaratna CV, Perret JL, Lodge CJ, et al. Prevalence of obstructive sleep apnea in the general population: a systematic review. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2017;34:70-81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2016.07.002
- Thun MJ, Carter BD, Feskanich D, et al. 50-year trends in smoking-related mortality in the United States. N Engl J Med. 2013;368(4):351-364. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa1211127