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Abstract
Background: Emphysema is an important component of COPD; however, in previous studies the correlation between airflow limitation (AFL) and computed tomography (CT) lung density as a surrogate for emphysema has varied. We hypothesised a good correlation between lung function (forced expiratory volume in first second [FEV1]) and emphysema (15th percentile density [PD15]) and that this correlation also exists between loss of lung tissue and decline in lung function even within the time frame of longitudinal studies of relatively short duration.

Methods: We combined 2 large longitudinal studies (the Danish Lung Cancer Screening Trial [DLCST] and the Evaluation of COPD Longitudinally to Identify Predictive Surrogate Endpoints [ECLIPSE]) of smokers or former smokers, with a wide range of AFL and CT lung density, and analysed data from 2148 participants who did not change smoking habits and who had at least 2 CT scans and 2 FEV1 measurements at least 3 years apart.

Results: Baseline correlation between FEV1 and PD15 was high (r=0.716, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.694-0.736, p<0.001) indicating that at least half of the variation in FEV1 can be explained by variation in CT lung density. Correlation between the decline in FEV1 and progression of PD15 was considerably weaker (r=0.081, 95% CI: 0.038-0.122, p<0.001).

Conclusions: Correlation is very high between lung density and lung function in a broad spectrum of smokers and ex-smokers. In contrast, the temporal associations (slopes) are weakly correlated, probably due to uncertainty in the estimation of slopes within a time frame of 3-4 years.
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Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality; it was the third ranking cause of death in 2010.\(^1\,2\) COPD is defined by abnormal lung function, which was first described by Tiffeneau in 1947.\(^3\,4\) and the conventional method for monitoring the progression of COPD is by serial measurements of forced expiratory volume in first second (FEV\(_1\)).\(^5\) An important component of COPD is pulmonary emphysema, which is defined morphologically as loss of alveolar tissue.\(^6\) In the 1970’s Hounsfield developed computed tomography (CT) for clinical use and emphysema was first described by CT in the late 1970’s and early 1980’s.\(^7\,8\) Emphysema can be quantified using CT,\(^9\,10\) and the strong correlation between CT densitometry scores and quantitative pathology scores of emphysema\(^12\,14\) makes it possible to non-invasively follow the progression of emphysema by repeat CT scans.

Numerous previous studies have investigated the relationship between CT lung density and lung function with varying results.\(^1\,15\) Most of these studies were based on small and more selected populations with a limited range of lung functions and densities which may explain poor correlations and it is not surprising that previous cross-sectional studies have shown varying degrees of correlation between CT quantification of emphysema and airflow obstruction in COPD.\(^15\,19\) However, it is an accepted fact that some patients with severe emphysema have remarkably little airflow obstruction,\(^20\,21\) and at the same time other patients with severe airflow obstruction have strikingly normal lungs on visual inspection of their CT scans; this is usually interpreted as evidence of distinct phenotypes of COPD.\(^22\,23\) Furthermore, it has been difficult in prospective, longitudinal studies to show a good correlation between loss of CT lung density and decline in lung function in patients with COPD.\(^24\)

With the aim of studying the correlation between emphysema and lung function in smokers, we combined and decline in lung function in patients with COPD.\(^\) show a good correlation between loss of CT lung density has been difficult in prospective, longitudinal studies to most patients who have strikingly normal lungs on visual inspection of their CT scans; this is usually interpreted as evidence of distinct phenotypes of COPD.\(^20\,21\) However, it is an accepted fact that some patients with severe emphysema have remarkably little airflow obstruction,\(^20\,21\) and at the same time other patients with severe airflow obstruction have strikingly normal lungs on visual inspection of their CT scans; this is usually interpreted as evidence of distinct phenotypes of COPD.\(^22\,23\) Furthermore, it has been difficult in prospective, longitudinal studies to show a good correlation between loss of CT lung density and decline in lung function in patients with COPD.\(^24\)
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Material and Methods

Study Population

The current paper presents a pooled analysis of lung function and CT data from participants in 2 studies: the Danish Lung Cancer Screening Trial (DLCST) and the Evaluation of COPD Longitudinally to Identify Predictive Surrogate Endpoints (ECLIPSE). We included data from participants who did not change smoking habits while participating in the studies and who had at least 2 CT scans and at least 2 FEV\(_1\) measurements at least 3 years apart.

From DLCST,\(^25\) we included participants randomized to annual CT scans. DLCST is a single-center 4-year trial investigating the effect of screening on lung cancer mortality. Individuals volunteered to the trial in response to advertisements in local free newspapers. A total of 4104 participants were randomized to either annual screening with low dose CT or no screening (control group). Participants were 50-70 years of age without lung cancer-related symptoms. They had to be current or ex-smokers with a minimum of 20 pack years, and an FEV\(_1\) of at least 30% of predicted normal at baseline. Ex-smokers had to have quit after the age of 50 years and less than 10 years before inclusion. In the screening arm, 2052 volunteers were scanned annually 5 times. In addition, at the annual visits for all participants spirometry was performed, smoking habits were recorded and the carbon monoxide level in exhaled breath was measured.

In ECLIPSE,\(^26\,27\) patients with COPD who were between the ages of 40 and 75 years were enrolled in the study if they had a history of 10 or more pack years of smoking, as well as an FEV\(_1\) less than 80% of the predicted normal value and a ratio of FEV\(_1\) to forced vital capacity (FVC) of 0.7 or less. After the baseline visit, patients returned to their study centers on 7 occasions for follow-up assessments: at 3 months and at 6 months and then every 6 months for 3 years. At each visit, patients reported smoking habits and the severity of COPD was graded according to the stages of disease as defined by the Global initiative for chronic
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Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD). CT scans were performed at baseline and after 1 and 3 years.

Both studies were conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines. All participants provided written informed consent, and the studies were approved by the relevant ethics and review boards and registered at ClinicalTrials.gov. (DLCST: NCT00496977, ECLIPSE: NCT00292552).

**Lung Function Testing**

Spirometry was performed by professionally trained and experienced hospital-based pulmonary function technicians or nurses; equipment calibration was performed daily and checked prior to each test, and the flow sensor was cleaned daily, according to manufacturer's recommendations.

Measurements included FEV₁ and FVC and their ratio (FEV₁/FVC). Both FEV₁ and FVC were expressed in absolute values and as a percentage of predicted values according to European reference equations. Airflow limitation (AFL) was defined as FEV₁/FVC <0.7. The severity of AFL was classified according to GOLD.

In DLCST, spirometry was performed annually for 4 years using a computerized system (Spirotrac IV software; Fleich Pneumotach model 6800, Vitalograph, Buckingham, UK). No bronchodilatation was applied.

In ECLIPSE, spirometry was measured at baseline and at each subsequent visit; patients underwent spirometry (Viasys MasterScope) 15 minutes after inhaling 400 μg of salbutamol from a metered-dose inhaler with the use of a Volumatic spacer (GlaxoSmithKline).

**CT Scans**

The CT protocols were quite similar in DLCST and ECLIPSE. In both studies scans were acquired at suspended full inspiration without administration of intravenous contrast, and both used multi-slice low-dose technique (120 kV and 40 mAs) and a 512 X 512 matrix. Reconstruction algorithms differed slightly. In DLCST, images were reconstructed with 3 mm slice thickness and 50% overlap using a soft reconstruction algorithm (Philips: kernel A), whereas in ECLIPSE, images were reconstructed using 1.0 mm (Siemens) or 1.25 mm (GE) contiguous slices and an intermediate spatial frequency reconstruction algorithm (GE: Standard, Siemens: b35f). In both studies, CT scanners were calibrated regularly using standard water calibration phantoms according to the manufacturers’ recommendations at the individual centers.

**Image Analysis and Emphysema Quantification**

In both studies, image analysis was performed at a central laboratory, although the image analysis process differed slightly. In DLCST, scans were analyzed by the Image Group at the Department of Computer Science, University of Copenhagen (Denmark) using in-house developed software, and in ECLIPSE, scans were analyzed at the University of British Columbia using Pulmonary Workstation 2.0 software (VIDA Diagnostics, Coralville, Iowa, United States).

The total lung volume (TLV) was calculated by summing the CT voxels that contained lung. The frequency distribution of the x-ray attenuation values of the CT lung voxels was created, and the lung density at the lowest 15th percentile point (PD15—the density point at which 15% of lung voxels have a lower lung density) was calculated. Subsequently, x-ray attenuation values in Hounsfield units (HU) were converted to density (g/l) by adding 1000 to the HU (e.g. PD15 value of -950 HU equals 50 g/l). The lower the PD15 values in g/l (i.e., closer to 0), the more emphysema is present. This method of emphysema quantification has been validated against pathology and has been applied in several studies. We preferred the percentile density instead of the low attenuation area percentage below -950 HU because percentile densities are more appropriate for following the progression of emphysema. CT lung density is very sensitive to the level of inspiration during the scan, which more than doubles from full inspiration to full expiration; therefore, we corrected PD15 for lung volume by physiologic modeling using the sponge model, and lung density is expressed as the volume-adjusted PD15 throughout this paper.

**Statistical Analysis**

For each participant, the annual loss of CT lung density (PD15) and decline in lung function (FEV₁) were calculated as slopes in regression models with time as explanatory variable. The correlation between decline in lung function and lung density was calculated as the Pearson correlation coefficient (and 95% confidence interval) of these slopes. Data are presented as mean and standard deviations where appropriate. All tests were 2-sided, for which an alpha level of 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.
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Results

Baseline Characteristics
A total of 2148 participants were included in the analysis; 1178 from DLCST and 970 from ECLIPSE. The baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. Participants in DLCST were younger and had smoked fewer pack years, and more were females and current smokers. More importantly, DLCST participants had less AFL and less emphysema (denser lungs) compared to patients from ECLIPSE. However, decline in lung function was steeper in DLCST, and loss of CT lung density was similar in both cohorts.

Table 1: Characteristics of the Participants at Baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DLCST</th>
<th>ECLIPSE</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males/Females</td>
<td>658/520</td>
<td>623/347</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>58 (49-71)</td>
<td>63 (40-76)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack Years</td>
<td>36 (20-174)</td>
<td>46 (6-205)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>676</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>294</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirometric GOLD Stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>471</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>391</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 %</td>
<td>mean (SD)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>94 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted</td>
<td>decline (SD) %/year</td>
<td>-2.4 (1.9)</td>
<td>-1.3 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD15 Volume</td>
<td>mean (SD) g/L</td>
<td>92 (17)</td>
<td>50 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted</td>
<td>decline (SD) HU/year</td>
<td>-1.05 (1.58)</td>
<td>-1.06 (2.20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlations

At baseline the correlations between FEV1 and PD15 were highly significant (p<0.001) in both cohorts, and when the cohorts were combined the coefficient reached 0.716 (0.694-0.736) indicating that approximately half of the variation in FEV1 can be explained by variation in CT lung density (Figure 1B and Table 2). In subgroups with a restricted range of lung function (that is separate cohorts [DLCST and ECLIPSE],

Figure 1. Baseline Correlations Between Lung Function (FEV1) and Emphysema (PD15)

A: DLCST
B: DLCST & ECLIPSE
C: ECLIPSE
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and separate COPD stages) the correlation was weaker, however, in most cases still statistically significant.

The correlation between the slopes (decline in lung function [FEV₁] and progression of emphysema [PD15]) appears in Table 2, Figure 2B and Figure 2C. Overall the correlation was weak ($r=0.081$ [0.038-
The correlations were generally stronger in subgroups with faster decline such as current smokers and patients with AFL. Among the latter, the correlation increased with decreasing lung function and reached 0.15 for GOLD stages III and IV.

### Discussion

COPD is a disease that evolves over decades often with a substantial amount of emphysema and airflow obstruction at the time of diagnosis. In this large population of individuals at risk and patients with COPD, we found a good overall correlation between baseline CT lung density and FEV₁ and at the same time a poor correlation between changes over time that is loss of CT lung density and decline in FEV₁.

Ideally, the relationship between lung function and density should be studied in a large, unselected sample from the general population at a single center; however, this is not acceptable due to ethical issues related to exposing healthy individuals to the ionizing radiation of repeat CT scans, and in the real world single center studies are possible only in limited sample sizes. Realizing this, we decided to combine data from 2 large, observational studies with the aim of creating a unique sample covering the entire spectrum of COPD in heavy smokers, from individuals at risk of developing airflow limitation to patients with very severe COPD. In the 2 studies, CT was performed subsequent to inclusion, and participants were selected based on lung function only. Nevertheless, the combined sample not only covers a wide range of airflow limitation, but an equally wide range of CT lung densities and this is advantageous when studying the relationship between lung function and lung density. There is a risk of falsely inflating correlation when combining studies if any of the variables of interest reflects extremes; e.g., by combining super-healthy individuals and patients with very severe COPD. However, there is a significant overlap of both FEV₁ and PD15 values in our cohorts as shown in Figure 1 and thus we do not think our findings are biased.

Computed tomography lung density is a surrogate for emphysema, and lung function measures airflow limitation as an indication of airways disease. Some investigators consider these 2 components of COPD as representing distinct and independent phenotypes. However, in our population (Figure 1B) the 2 components seem to be closely related, and we were not able to differentiate distinct phenotypes based on these characteristics. The CT measurements of lung density could play a more important role in the assessment of persons with COPD, but the results also show that quantitative measurements — at the current stage — are not precise enough to follow the progression of emphysema in individual patients and should not be used as such. Furthermore, currently available software cannot detect the heterogeneity and appearance of emphysema patterns (centrilobular, paraseptal and panlobular) that most chest physicians are familiar with, and there is a need for more sophisticated information than just a measurement of overall lung density. Therefore, efforts have been put into developing unsupervised machine learning approaches to subtyping data. One recent example is the COPDGene Cluster analysis where data on quantitative chest CT, spirometric and clinical measures from the COPDGene trial was used to find clinically relevant clusters. Four clusters were identified and associated with COPD-related clinical characteristics (i.e., exacerbation and dyspnea). The associations were replicated in a validation sample. The analysis of data was rather complex and the optimal selection of features for clustering is a critical area for the application of unsupervised learning. However, the acceptance of an interpretation depends on its intuitive appeal, and in this regard, there is more work needed before computer software can automatically detect distinct and intuitively meaningful subtypes in COPD. We cannot exclude that with more information (e.g., the distribution of emphysema, clinical characteristics [including exacerbations and dyspnea]) and genetic data, it would be possible to define more distinct clusters in our cohort.

Chest CT and lung density could very well be a powerful tool in educating patients about the harmful effects of smoking. Seeing their own lungs with highlighted regions of low attenuation areas could prove effective in motivating smoking cessation. The quitting rate rises and the motivation to quit increases when persons are informed about their lung age and probably also if confronted with damage of their own lungs. Several studies have investigated smoking cessation in lung cancer screening trials and it was found that a screen-detected abnormality was associated with increased smoking cessation. This indicates that abnormalities on CT may serve as a strong catalyst for smoking cessation.
Several previous studies have investigated the correlation between CT lung density and physiology with varying results.\textsuperscript{15} To our knowledge only 6 studies have examined the correlation between PD\textsubscript{15} and FEV\textsubscript{1} in percent of predicted and they found correlations ranging from 0.09-0.62.\textsuperscript{44-48} This is likely due to the fact that all of these studies were based on more selected populations with a limited range of airflow limitation and lung densities which may explain poor correlations.

We used simple Pearson correlation on both the baseline values and the slopes to compare the correlation between baseline values with the correlation between slopes in this large cohort, and refrained from using multiple correlations and more sophisticated statistical models and adjustments as these did not seem to add value given the aim of our study.

The poor correlation between decline in lung function (FEV\textsubscript{1}) and loss of lung density (PD\textsubscript{15}), the slopes, is probably explained by the relatively short time frames of the 2 studies (4 years for DLCST and 3 years for ECLIPSE). Within a time frame of 3-4 years the changes in both PD\textsubscript{15} and FEV\textsubscript{1} are relatively small as compared to the measuring error which for both parameters is larger than the annual change.\textsuperscript{37,49} This is illustrated in Figure 2 where baseline values (Figure 2A) and annual changes are plotted on the same scale (Figure 2B). The figure shows how small the annual changes are as compared to the changes that have accumulated at an age of 60 years which was the mean age of the participants.

An inherent limitation of pooled analyses is the expected differences in the inclusion criteria, study investigations and the way data are collected in the 2 studies, which may introduce selection bias. Nevertheless, this heterogeneity might be considered as an advantage, since the participants reflect 2 different but complementary populations. DLCST cohort is a screening cohort whereas ECLIPSE is a clinical cohort. Another source of selection bias can result from the exclusion of participants changing their smoking habits during the study; however, the exclusion is necessary due to the rapid fall in lung density following smoking cessation.\textsuperscript{50,51} We only included smokers or former smokers in the analysis, and therefore, results cannot be extrapolated to never-smokers, however the risk of developing COPD in the Western hemisphere is greater in current and former smokers.

There are a few participants in the upper left corner of Figure 1B who have severe emphysema (PD\textsubscript{15} <20 g/L) and almost no airflow limitation (FEV\textsubscript{1} >60% pred.), whereas the lower right corner of Figure 1B is empty. Thus, no participants had low lung function (FEV\textsubscript{1} <50% pred.) without also having some degree of emphysema (PD\textsubscript{15} <100 g/L). Patients with asthma usually suffer from airflow limitation without loss of lung density, and the emptiness of the lower right corner is consistent with exclusion of patients diagnosed with asthma in ECLIPSE.

Lung function was measured with meticulousness in both studies but differs primarily, because the test was performed post bronchodilation in ECLIPSE and without bronchodilation in DLCST. We believe that this has negligible effect because ECLIPSE is a specialist center cohort and most of the participants were on standard of care, the opposite was true for the population-based DLCST cohort. CT protocols and image analysis software differed between DLCST and ECLIPSE, and CT quantification of emphysema is influenced by both scan parameters (such as slice thickness and reconstruction algorithm) and choice of software. However, previous studies of CT quantification of emphysema have shown minimal differences between results obtained with soft reconstruction (DLCST) and an intermediate spatial frequency reconstruction (ECLIPSE).\textsuperscript{52}

In conclusion, we found a very high correlation between lung density and lung function using 2 cohorts covering a broad spectrum of smokers, ranging from healthy participants to patients with severe COPD. In contrast, the temporal associations (slopes) were weakly correlated, probably due to uncertainty in the estimation of slopes over the relatively short duration (3-4 years) of the 2 studies.
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