

Improving Screening for Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency With Direct Testing in the Pulmonary Function Testing Laboratory

Samuel Gurevich, MD^{1,2} Andrew Daya, MD² Cristiana Da Silva, RRT, BS² Christine Girard, MD² Franck Rahaghi, MD²

¹Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine of Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, United States

²Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Cleveland Clinic Florida, Weston, Florida, United States

Corresponding author:

Samuel Gurevich, MD
Respiratory Center
Cleveland Clinic Florida Weston
Building A2950 Cleveland Clinic Blvd
Weston, FL 33331
Ph: (954) 659-5450 Fax: (954) 659-5451
Email: Gurevis@ccf.org

Citation: Gurevich S, Daya A, Da Silva C, Girard C, Rahaghi F. Improving screening for alpha-1 antitrypsin with direct testing in the pulmonary function testing laboratory. *Chronic Obstr Pulm Dis*. 2020; Published online December 2, 2020. doi: <https://doi.org/10.15326/jcopdf.2020.0179>

ABSTRACT:

Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency (AATD) is a common but highly underdiagnosed genetic disorder that may lead to Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD), bronchiectasis, and liver disease. Early diagnosis is key to altering the course of disease as well as of informing family members of potential risk. This randomized prospective observational study compares the different testing modalities for AATD testing of at risk patients initiated in the Pulmonary Function Testing (PFT) laboratory. Providing a recommendation with prescription for serologic testing, providing a finger-stick testing method (AlphaKit), and provided a buccal swab testing method (AlphaID) were compared to the community standard of referring the patient back to the PFT ordering provider only. Results show that testing directly in the PFT laboratory has an Odds Ratio (OR) for completing testing of 35.14 (5.33 - 999.99), p-value of <0.0001, for buccal swab testing and an OR of 17.09 (2.58 - 729.99), p-value of 0.0002, for finger-stick testing compared to community standard. Providing a prescription was no better than referral back to the PFT ordering provider with OR of 2.61(0.33 - 119.36), p-value of 0.6412. Resources needed to perform testing by Respiratory Therapy were minimal with average time of 1 to 5 minutes per patient tested. Causes of testing refusal were also identified. In conclusion, direct testing for AATD by respiratory therapists at the conclusion of PFT testing shows a significant improvement in rates of testing, especially with testing that utilizes buccal swab sample collection.

Key Words: Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, AlphaKit, AlphaID

Introduction:

Alpha-1 Anti-Trypsin (AAT) is a protein that has both anti-inflammatory and anti-proteolytic functions, and serves to limit protease induced injury to lung matrix proteins (1). A deficiency of AAT predisposes patients to Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) as well as other disorders including bronchiectasis and liver disease (2). Early identification of patients with AATD allows for interventions that can slow the effects of this disease, and improves morbidity, loss of lung function and mortality (3-6). Historically, testing of qualified patients has been extremely low (7, 8).

AAT functions by inhibiting neutrophil elastase, an enzyme that can damage respiratory epithelium and trigger a state of oxidative stress. By inhibiting this enzyme AAT may serve to protect lung structure. A deficiency in AAT results in elevated levels of neutrophil elastase and increased degradation of lung tissue, with even more dramatic effects in smokers (9-12).

AATD is one of the most common genetic diseases and occurs due to mutations in the SERPINA1 gene, which codes for AAT. Depending on the gene mutations, there can be a spectrum of disease severity. Those who carry at least one Pi Z allele, the gene that causes significant AATD pathology, are at risk. It is estimated that 2.9% of the population carries at least one copy of the Pi Z allele, putting them at risk for AATD. Pi ZZ is a genotype that causes severe disease and occurs in 1 in 4,775 people. Pi SZ is a genotype that may also contribute to disease and occurs in 1 in 1,124 patients. AATD has an estimated prevalence of about 1% to 3% of patients with COPD. It is estimated that there are at least 116 million carriers (Pi MS and Pi MZ) and 3.4 million deficiency allele combinations (Pi S, Pi SZ, and Pi ZZ) worldwide, based on population data from 2002 (13). Despite such a high prevalence, AATD remains

tremendously under recognized and under diagnosed, with only 5-10% of patients with AATD being diagnosed (6, 7, 14, 15).

According to the standards set forth by the American Thoracic Society (ATS) and the European Respiratory Society (ERS), it is recommended to test all patients with incompletely reversible airflow obstruction for AATD (2, 16). Other respiratory authorities including guidelines from the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) and the COPD Foundation (17, 18) have issued similar recommendations.

The rationale for these recommendations is that undiagnosed individuals are losing opportunities for lifestyle modifications and therapies to help manage their disease early and reduce progression, thus improving their quality of life and survival. Additionally, individuals with AATD who are properly diagnosed may help diagnose other family members who are similarly affected (19). Finally, targeted testing in this population has a higher diagnostic yield compared with other screening modalities (20).

Presently, the standard of care is for the physician who orders PFTs to receive results, recognize non-reversible airway obstruction, and then instruct the patient to have a blood draw (or fingerstick or buccal swab testing if available in their office) testing for AATD genotyping. There is often a failure to screen at the provider level and noncompliance at the patient level. Prior interventions, including a “Physician Alert” in PFT reports, have yielded improvements but found that many qualified patients remained untested (21).

Approaches including empowering respiratory therapists to screen for AATD when non-reversible airway obstruction is diagnosed during pulmonary function tests (PFT’s) improve

detection rates even more. To attain this, medical directors of PFT laboratories implement a pathway whereby AATD testing is automatically offered to patients found to meet ATS/ERS recommendations. By increasing testing of appropriate patients, early detection of AATD and access to appropriate care can be accelerated. (22, 23). This requires proper education of RT staff and proper incorporation into the work-flow of the PFT laboratory.

A number of validated onsite testing kits that include the recommended genetic testing are in use and can be performed by non-physician personnel including RTs and nurses. They collect a sample from patients using a finger stick method, or a more recently available buccal mucosa swab kit method (23-25).

In this study, we test the hypothesis that offering rapid, practical testing immediately on identification of at risk patients in the PFT laboratory will result in a significantly higher percentage of at risk patients completing screening for AATD.

Methods:

Study Design and Eligibility Criteria:

This is a prospective observational study comparing the effectiveness of four different modalities for AATD testing. The four modalities include 1: directing the patient to further discuss results with their ordering physician, 2: providing a prescription for AATD testing to their local laboratory, 3: testing via the finger stick (AlphaKit) on site in the PFT laboratory, or 4: testing via buccal mucosa swabs (AlphaID) on site in the PFT laboratory. Each modality was offered during a rotating bi-weekly schedule. For example, for the first 2 week of the study, all eligible patients were referred back to the ordering provider. During the next 2 weeks, a prescription for testing was provided, and so forth. , This rotation resent until a sample size

of at least 160 patients was obtained, with at least 35 patients per testing modality. Institutional review board provided waiver of written consent.

We included patients age 18 or above with non-reversible airway obstruction detected on PFTs. These patients were identified by the RT's. We excluded those patients with prior AATD testing, those with documented AATD, and those which normalized their FEV1 with bronchodilator challenge. All eligible patients were provided with information about AATD and advised to also discuss results with the ordering physician, including prior to AATD testing. RTs were provided with appropriate training and a script for patient education prior to the start of the study.

Outcomes and Assessments:

The primary endpoint was rates of completed testing for AATD among at risk patients as defined by ATS/ERS. Patients who agreed to testing directly after being identified as at risk in the PFT laboratory were provided the finger-stick blood collection or the buccal swab collection depending on the week of the study. Patients who were offered a prescription for serologic testing or who were referred back to the PFT ordering provider were given 6 weeks to complete testing prior to being counted as non-compliant with testing. All patients were provided education about AATD. For qualified patients who did not have testing, the reasons why were also collected.

Statistical Analyses:

Univariate analysis was performed to assess the differences in baseline characteristics and screening rates between modalities in which Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test when appropriate was used for categorical factors, while Wilcoxon's rank-sum test was applied for

continuous factors. Exact logistic regression model was conducted to evaluate the difference in screening rates among the groups in which the odds ratios and their 95% Confidence Intervals were provided. All data analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4.

Results:

Baseline Characteristics:

A total of 312 patients (148 (47.4%) males, and 164 (52.6%) females; median age: 70 (range 18-94 years old)) were included in the study. There was no statistical difference between the age, gender, or degree of obstruction between the patients screened with the different modalities (Table 1).

Primary Endpoints:

Of the 37 patients who were instructed to follow up with their ordering physician, only 1 (2.7%) completed testing. Of the 118 patients who were given a script for AAT genetic testing to be completed in a lab, only 8 (6.8%) completed testing. Of the 83 patients that were offered testing by finger-stick, 27 (32.5%) completed testing. Of the 74 patients that were offered testing via buccal mucosa swab, 37 (50%) completed testing. These differences were statistically significant with a p-value of <0.0001 (Tables 2, 3).

Since the current standard of practice is to have the patient follow up with their ordering physician for further discussion, this group was set as the reference group. In comparison to following up with an ordering physician, patients were 17 times more likely to be tested in the finger-stick group, and 35 times more likely to be tested in the buccal mucosa swab group.

Additional Findings:

For those patients who agreed to testing, the time for RT completion averaged 5 minutes (including education) for the finger stick test, and 1 minute for the buccal swab test. A unanimous RT preference for the buccal test was reported.

When testing was indicated but not performed the most common reported reasons included the time it took to perform the test, the invasiveness of the test (for finger stick collection), and the potential concerns over collection of DNA materials in the finger stick and buccal swab tests (Table 4).

Of additional note, although this study was not powered to detect changes in testing rates, 5 carrier results (Pi MS) were identified. The fact that a deficient patient was not identified in this data set of 73 patients is not extraordinary as it estimated that you need to test 295 patients to be 95% sure to find one deficient patient (22).

Discussion:

Despite increasing knowledge of favourable outcomes in early screening of alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency, testing continues to remain underutilized. Several studies have shown the average delay between onset of symptoms and actual diagnosis of AATD well exceeds five years (26-28), potentially resulting in deleterious effects on both patient's lung and liver function. According to American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society, screening recommendations should include those with diagnosed COPD on PFTs, bronchiectasis with no established etiology, cryptogenic liver disease, granulomatosis with polyangiitis, necrotizing panniculitis, and those with first degree relatives that are carriers of or have AATD.

There are several hypotheses as to why screening is delayed or not initiated. There is a general idea that there is inadequate awareness and education on the disease topic itself. In one study, both internal medicine residents and respiratory therapists were given a questionnaire to assess their knowledge on not only the disease but also when to screen. The percentage of correct answers was 52% and 54% in RTs and internal medicine residents respectively indicating a necessity for further education (29). Additionally, it has been questioned whether healthcare providers are hesitant to provide screening due to the perceived idea that there is not an effective treatment for this disease. However, a questionnaire study that focused on this idea showed that only 8% of physicians answered “There is no treatment available for this disease,” suggesting this was not a widely accepted idea (30). In addition to increased need for education on screening and treatment of AATD, healthcare providers also expressed that there is a lack of feasibility in the methods for screening.

In this study, diagnosis of non-reversible airway obstruction prompted screening for AATD. There were four available options that were compared. Onsite testing options included having the patient submit to a finger stick blood sample collection or a buccal cheek swab sample collection. Offsite testing included a written prescription for the patient to obtain serologic AAT testing. Lastly, patients were offered the current community standard of referral back to the PFT ordering physician to discuss further testing for AATD.

The results from this study indicate that onsite testing by far provided the highest completion rates. The buccal swab (AlphaID) had the highest completion rate of all at 50% versus 32.5% found in finger stick (AlphaKit) method, and was faster and preferred by the administering RTs. Off-site testing had the lowest completion rates - only 6.8% of patients had their blood

work completed despite education and a physical prescription, and only 2.7% followed up with the physician who ordered the PFTs to obtain AATD testing.

The AlphaID buccal swab test is a minimally invasive, accurate, and rapid test that can be collected in the clinic by RTs as soon as at risk patients are identified by the spirometry portion of their PFTs. It is currently available free to patients and providers as the cost is covered by a pharmaceutical company (32). It is important to recognize that while it remains free directly to patients getting the test, this cost is ultimately borne by the price of the therapy and the healthcare system.

The AlphaID buccal swab average testing time was noted as 1 minute including a brief education session. From the time the sample is taken and sent off an average of 1 week is needed for confirmation of results. This test detects 14 genetic mutations as well as variants associated with AATD. The ease and feasibility of this method makes it ideal for both provider and patient. It is especially desirable for those that are fearful of more invasive collection (finger sticks, blood draws) or with poor adherence to follow up visits. Those patients that did decline swab testing noted wanting to speak with their physician first, being concerned about the security of the genetic information, and equating this to commercially available broader genetic testing kits which they did not want to pursue.

The finger stick AlphaKit also had higher completion rates than those completing blood work at an outside laboratory or following up with their physician. However, this method fell short of success of the buccal swab AlphaID. The most reported reason to decline this modality of testing was the invasiveness of the procedure, as well as the extra time needed to obtain it

(average of 5 minutes). Despite education to the contrary, patients were reported to equate this simple finger prick to being like that of an arterial blood gas stick or phlebotomy.

The current community standard of leaving the responsibility for AATD testing with the PFT ordering physician yields testing rates in this study of 2-3%, similar to those previously reported. Even with the addition of education and the provision of a written prescription, the completion rate remained woefully low. Those that did complete testing had longer wait times and more practical barriers including going to the laboratory and making sure their insurance covered the testing. Additionally, more time had to be allotted to educating the patient on the importance of getting their blood drawn and how early detection can aid in the treatment plan.

This study also re-affirms that RTs should not only be educated on this topic but also be made to feel empowered to offer AATD testing to patients who meet criteria. It reconfirms previously demonstrated practical feasibility of testing through the PFT laboratory. This practicality very likely also carries over to desk-top spirometry testing done in many physician offices. Broader testing of these at risk patients will ultimately help aid in early detection and treatment strategies for delaying progression of disease.

It is important to point out that there are a number of current and emerging therapies for AATD once diagnosed. From risk factor modification including smoking cessation, medical therapy for COPD, nutritional support and pulmonary rehabilitation, to supplemental oxygen when necessary, there are many interventions that become appropriate after diagnosis. Intravenous augmentation therapy to slow the progression of lung function decline, and, ultimately, lung transplant provide additional benefits. (31, 32)

Further education and oversight of the PFT laboratory work-flow, inclusion of a statement that possible AATD testing may occur at the time of PFTs in the EMR for ordering physicians, COPD order sets including AATD testing, and additional time allotted for patient education may improve testing even further.

Limitations of this study include its use of a single PFT laboratory center and therefore may not be applicable in other testing environments. The high volume work flow and baseline staff education also allowed for relatively simple transitions between the different testing modalities, and these resources may not be available in smaller laboratories, or as easily transitioned in larger laboratories. Another potential limitation include the COPD patient population and how representative it was of other patient communities. Of note, significantly more patients were in the “prescription” category. This was likely a result of including an additional 2 week data collection rotation as once the rotation was started, all patients in that 2 week period were counted, as well as a particularly busy 2 week block which included the opening of an additional PFT room.

Additional limitations are those inherent to time-blocked randomization. This was chosen for ease of administration and was not felt to effect randomization in any meaningful way as no other world or calendar events affected this study period. Also to be considered is the absent equipoise between interventions. The interventions chosen are the currently available testing modalities, warranting direct comparison.

The hypothesis of this study is generally obvious but requires a paradigm shift in where, by whom, and how the sample for AATD testing is obtained. Such a change in practice benefits

not only from an intellectual expectation that it will work, but data to confirm that it has worked.

Conclusions:

This study reveals the significant impact of direct testing in the PFT laboratory by RTs on AATD screening rates. The availability of this testing resulted in very significant improvement in testing rates, and the less invasive and faster buccal swab test proved most acceptable to patients. The testing protocol involved minimal burden on providers.

Incorporation of this testing protocol offers a practical way to dramatically increase screening for this common and often severe genetic disorder, offering patients and their family a diagnosis and potentially bringing them to treatment at a much earlier phase of their disease.

Acknowledgements:

FR provided the framework of the study questions. FR, SG, and AD were involved in study design. AD, CS, CG, and SG were involved in procurement of testing kits and data collection. AD was involved in the statistical analysis. All authors participated in the writing and review of the manuscript and the literature search, and approved it for publication.

TABLES:

Patient Characteristics					
Table 1					
Factor	Script (n=118)	SWAB (n=74)	STICK (n=83)	PCP (n=37)	p-value
Age, median (range)	70 (18-94)	71 (27-87)	69 (27-90)	68 (21-91)	0.9543 [#]
Gender, n (%)					0.2641
Female	55 (46.6%)	45 (60.8%)	43 (51.8%)	21 (56.8%)	
Male	63 (53.4%)	29 (39.2%)	40 (48.2%)	16 (43.2%)	
Degree of obstruction					0.8352
Mild - Moderate	66 (55.9%)	40 (54.1%)	49 (59.0%)	23 (62.2%)	
Mod to Very Severe	52 (44.1%)	34 (45.9%)	34 (41.0%)	14 (37.8%)	

[#] p-value was derived from Wilcoxon rank sum test which was used because age didn't display normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk' p-value <0.0001).

Table 2: Screening Completion					
Screening Completed?	Script (n=118)	Buccal Mucosa Swab (n=74)	Finger-stick (n=83)	Referral back to ordering provider (n=37)	P-value
No	110 (93.2%)	37 (50.0%)	56 (67.5%)	36 (97.3%)	<0.0001
Yes	8 (6.8%)	37 (50.0%)	27 (32.5%)	1 (2.7%)	

Table 3: Screening Rates by Modality			
Group	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P-value
Buccal mucosa swab	35.14	(5.33 - 999.99)	<0.0001
Finger-stick	17.09	(2.58 - 729.99)	0.0002
Script	2.61	(0.33 - 119.36)	0.6412
Referral back to ordering provider (Reference)	1		

**Table 4:
Reasons for Testing Refusal**

Patient Driven

- Wished to discuss with their physician first
- Patient felt test was too uncomfortable
- Confused finger stick with arterial blood gas
- Patient concerned due to being on blood thinner
- Concerns over genetic information being saved/used for other purposes
- Confusion with commercially available broad genetic testing available directly to consumer

RT driven

- Not enough time/back-up of patients (finger stick testing)
- Covering staff not familiar with protocol

References:

- 1) Pavel Strnad, M.D., Noel G. McElvaney, D.Sc., and David A. Lomas, Sc.D. Alpha-1-Antitrypsin Deficiency. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 382:1443-1455
- 2) American Thoracic Society, European Respiratory Society; American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: standards for the diagnosis and management of individuals with alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2003;168(7):818-900.
- 3) Mallya M, Phillips RL, Saldanha SA, et al. Small molecules block the polymerization of Z α 1-antitrypsin and increase the clearance of intracellular aggregates. *J Med Chem* 2007;50:5357-5363.
- 4) Chapman KR, Burdon JGW, Piitulainen E, et al. Intravenous augmentation treatment and lung density in severe α 1 antitrypsin deficiency (RAPID): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2015;386:360-368.
- 5) Rahaghi FF, Miravittles M. Long-term clinical outcomes following treatment with alpha 1-proteinase inhibitor for COPD associated with alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency: a look at the evidence. *Respir Res*. 2017;18(1):105
- 6) Alpha-1 Foundation. Look into genetic COPD. <https://www.alpha1.org/Alphas-Friends-Family/Resources/News/ArtMID/5952/ArticleID/7792/Grifols-launches-educational-campaign-Look-into-Genetic-COPD>. Published February 28, 2018. Accessed November 4, 2019.
- 7) Michael A Campos 1 , Adam Wanner, Guoyan Zhang, Robert A. Trends in the Diagnosis of Symptomatic Patients With alpha1-antitrypsin Deficiency Between 1968 and 2003. *Chest* 2005 Sep;128(3):1179-86.
- 8) M Luisetti, N Seersholm. α 1-Antitrypsin deficiency · 1: Epidemiology of α 1-antitrypsin deficiency. *Thorax*. 2004;59(2):164-169
- 9) Mostafavi B, Diaz S, Piitulainen E, Stoel BC, Wollmer P, Tanash HA. Lung function and CT lung densitometry in 37- to 39-year-old individuals with alpha-1-antitrypsin deficiency. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2018;13:3689-3698
- 10) Shapiro SD, Goldstein NM, Houghton AM, Kobayashi DK, Kelley D, Belaaouaj A. Neutrophil elastase contributes to cigarette smoke-induced emphysema in mice. *Am J Pathol* 2003;163:2329-2335.
- 11) Ogushi F, Fells GA, Hubbard RC, Straus SD, Crystal RG. Z-type α 1-antitrypsin is less competent than M1-type α 1-antitrypsin as an inhibitor of neutrophil elastase. *J Clin Invest* 1987;80:1366-1374.
- 12) Alam S, Li Z, Janciauskiene S, Mahadeva R. Oxidation of Z α 1-antitrypsin by cigarette smoke induces polymerization: a novel mechanism of early-onset emphysema. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 2011;45:261-269.
- 13) de Serres FJ. Worldwide racial and ethnic distribution of alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency: summary of an analysis of published genetic epidemiologic surveys. *Chest* 2002; 122:1818–1829
- 14) Martinez CH, Mannino DM, Jaimes FA, et al. Undiagnosed obstructive lung disease in the United States. Associated factors and long-term mortality. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. 2015;12(12):1788–95.
- 15) Silverman EK, Miletich JP, Pierce JA, et al. Alpha-1-antitrypsin deficiency: high prevalence in the St. Louis area determined by direct population screening. *Am Rev Respir Dis*. 1989;140(4):961–6.
- 16) Jain A, McCarthy K, Xu M, Stoller JK. Impact of clinical support system in an electronic health record to enhance detection of alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency. *Chest*. 2011;140:198–204.

- 17) Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (2020 report). 2020; Available at: https://goldcopd.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/GOLD-2020-FINAL-ver1.2-03Dec19_WMV.pdf
- 18) Sandhaus RA, Turino G, Brantly ML, et al. The Diagnosis and Management of Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency in the Adult. *Chronic Obstr Pulm Dis*. Jun 6 2016;3(3):668-682.
- 19) Hogarth DK, Rachelefsky G. Screening and familial testing of patients for alpha 1-antitrypsin deficiency. *Chest*. 2008;133(4):981-988.
- 20) Choudry SA, Choudry AB, Lee MD. Alpha-1 antitrypsin genotype screening in COPD patients identified by EMR. *Am J Respir Crit Care*. 2011;183:A5353.
- 21) Rahaghi F, Ortega I, Rahaghi N, et al. Physician alert suggesting alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency testing in pulmonary function test (PFT) results. *COPD*. 2009;6(1):26-30.
- 22) Rahaghi FF, Sandhaus RA, Brantly ML, Rouhani F, Campos MA, Strange C, Hogarth DK, Eden E, Stocks JM, Krowka MJ, Stoller JK. The prevalence of alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency among patients found to have airflow obstruction. *COPD*. 2012
- 23) Campbell EJ. Alpha 1-antitrypsin deficiency: incidence and detection program. *Respir Med*. 2000;94 (suppl C):S18-21
- 24) World Health Organization. α 1-antitrypsin deficiency: memorandum from a WHO meeting. *Bull World Health Organ*. 1997;75(5):397-415
- 25) Real world evaluation of a novel lateral flow assay (AlphaKit® QuickScreen) for the detection of alpha-1-antitrypsin deficiency *Respir Res*. 2018; 19: 151. Published online 2018 Aug 13.
- 26) Campos, M., Wanner, A., Zhang, G., Sandhaus, R. (2005) Trends in the diagnosis of symptomatic patients with alpha1-antitrypsin deficiency between 1968 and 2003. *Chest* 128: 1179–1186.
- 27) Kohnlein, T., Janciauskiene, S., Welte, T. (2010) Diagnostic delay and clinical modifiers in alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency. *Ther Adv Respir Dis* 4: 279–287.
- 28) Stoller, J., Sandhaus, R., Turino, G., Dickson, R., Rodgers, K., Strange, C. (2005) Delay in diagnosis of alpha1-antitrypsin deficiency: a continuing problem. *Chest* 128: 1989–1994.
- 29) Taliercio RM, Chatburn RL, Stoller JK. Knowledge of alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency among internal medicine house officers and respiratory therapists: results of a survey. *Respir Care*. 2010;55(3):322-327.
- 30) Stoller JK. Detecting Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* Vol 13, Supplement 4, pp S317–S325, Aug 2016.
- 31) Sandhaus RA, Turino G, Brantly ML, et al. The diagnosis and management of alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency in the adult. *Chronic Obstr Pulm Dis*. 2016; 3(3): 668-682.
- 32) E. P. Trulock, Lung Transplantation for Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency Emphysema, *Chest* Vol 110, Issue 6, Supplement, 1996, 284s-294a